

ISSN: 2582-7065 (Online)

SAJSSH, VOL 2, ISSUE 3, PP. 53-70

Influence of Organizational Excellence Factors on the Organizational Performance and Moderation of Organizational Support in Dubai Police

Nasser Yousuf Mohammad Fakhari¹, Badariah Haji Din² and Abd. Rahim Bin Romle³

^{1,2,3}College of Law, Government and International Studies, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia.

Corresponding Author: Nasser Yousuf Mohammad Fakhari **Email:** nasser_mohammed_f@gsgsg.uum.edu.my

Received: 24th January 2021Accepted: 19th May 2021Published: 3rd June 2021

ABSTRACT

This study is exploring the influences from different organisational excellence factors on the organisational performance besides to examination of the organisational support moderation interact in Dubai police in the UAE. The proposed model of this study is a combination between three organisational excellence factors, management support, and organisational performance. Innovation, personal commitment, and customer focus are the independent variables that have impact on the organisational performance as the dependent variable. Besides, management support is considered as the moderating variable in the particular model of this study. The actual sample of this research is 388 police officers, which was collected from different police stations and department in Emirate of Dubai. The target or study population chosen for this research is the total number of police officers in all levels and all departments at the Dubai police. Overall, direct relationships for the seven predictors of organisational excellence factors are significant; the precedence for the relations based on the path coefficient value are personal commitment (0.750), innovation (0.683), and customer focus (0.631). For the moderating relationships of management support, it has no significant change in the path coefficient and the moderating effect is not significant.

KEYWORDS

Management Support, Organisational Performance, Organisational Excellence, Innovation, Customer Focus. Personal Commitment

INTRODUCTION

Without any doubt, police have been recognized as a crucial component of society and their functions cannot be underestimated in the maintenance of peace, order, harmony and ensuring adherence to law in every society (Masood et al., 2017). The services of police create collective national security and employ the use of the state power over the citizens (Rautiainen et al., 2017). For any society to perform and operate smoothly, police agencies in a country must be organized to accomplish the societal mission and objectives (Kenneth, 2016). Arising from the necessity of police performance at all times, Dubai government empowers the Public Security Directorate (PSD) to ensure security and safety in the country (Machi, 2020). The Anti-Narcotics Department of PSD has the main role of curbing smuggling of drugs. Similarly, the Arab and International Police Department (AIPD) links the law enforcement agencies in Dubai to their global counterparts (Idris, 2019). Also, the Ministry of Labour and PSD have a joint Anti-Trafficking Unit, which is responsible for conducting anti-trafficking investigations. In terms of legislation, the country also puts in place legislation such as the Penal Code which makes corruption, bribery, extortion, money laundering, abuse of office criminal, and Anti-Human Trafficking Law (Idris, 2019).

Arising from this discussion, and in line with theories such as agency theory, motivational theory and Resource Based theory, this study will empirically examine the performance of police in Dubai (Nweiran, 2019). The study will investigate the impact of five factors (motivation, organizational excellence, Total Quality Management, competence, and information technology) on the performance of police force in Dubai (Alosani et al., 2019). Based on the importance of support in achieving high performance as indicated by the previous studies, the study will make use of management support as an intervening variable that mediates the relationship between the five independent variable and the dependent variable. The study tends to contribute to the body of knowledge, practice and theory.

Similarly, the concept of organizational excellence relating to performance has been subjected to an empirical test by the previous studies. Many researchers such as Al-Dhaafri et al (2016), have established that there is a significant relationship between organizational excellence and business performance in different settings and countries. However, other scholars such as (Antony & Bahattacharyya, 2010), have emphasized that the relationship between the two constructs cannot be clearly defined. For example, Dawabsheh et al. (2019), revealed that the achievement of organizational excellence in a public sector is different from that of the private sector. While Rahman et al (2019), argued that both private and public sectors have the same maturity level when it comes to business excellence. Arising from this inconsistency in findings with the fact that the previous studies were conducted in different settings and country, this study will also fill the gap in the study by examining the relationship between organizational excellence and performance of police in Dubai.

The agency theory requires the accountability of the agent to the principal (Kunst & Beugelsdijk, 2018; Serapicos et al., 2019), and the resource-based theory (Galbreath, 2005) stipulates that the tangible and intangible resources of an organization has an impact on its performance. In other words, the investment of government on police through taxpayers' fund need to be justified by providing adequate security for the lives and property of the citizens. In conclusion, based on this discussion associated with the performance problem in the police setting in Dubai with relevant theories and gaps in each of the variables identified, this study

will examine the factors (motivation, organizational excellence, Total Quality Management, competence, and information technology) affecting the performance of police in Dubai. The study will also investigate the mediating influence of management on the relationship between these factors and police performance.

Based on the above illustration on the advancement in the Dubai police and need for continuous improvement is all managerial facets, this study is exploring the influences from different organisational excellence factors on the organisational performance besides to examination of the organisational support moderation interact in Dubai police in the UAE

LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Organisational Excellence

In enhancement, the business quality is a lot more relevant to service, as the label suggests, the business quality is used more in the social industries, whereas the service quality is used more in the personal fields companies (Li & Shang, 2020). Furthermore, the scholastic analysis standpoint, the business distinction principle emerged from Waterman and Peter (1982), which is a resolution on the partnership between various efficiency clues (Antony & Bahattacharyya, 2010). Moreover, the company distinction aids the monitoring to set apart the degree of excellence in a better method than in business efficiency (Fernando et al., 2019). However, the administration standpoint, Dahlgaard-Park (2009), describes the company distinction as an idea utilized in top quality administration to improve the company monitoring degree to the quality amount which will certainly offer great results.

In addition, the business superiority is specified as an intro developing, building up and publication of improvement, to boost and ensure the efficiency of the institution, it's also the development, improvement and historical procedure for company renovation (Narayan, 2020). However, the business superiority as a devotion to maintain the development and progression to attain constant boost in the productivity of the supporting atmosphere and the consumer complete satisfaction (Ashraf et al., 2018). The quality was made to determine capacities of organizations to accomplish premium, premium functionality, and development recognition and functionality distinction within an affordable framework (Kapsalis et al., 2019). As well as the company superiority possess feasible attributes in relations to consumers, staff members, management, resources proprietors, knowing, potential age, distributors and important companions, improvement and globalization (Baluku et al., 2018). The business distinction is a collection of techniques and approaches like innovation to attain greater functionality in an association (França et al., 2017).

Furthermore, the company superiority and organization superiority versions are help business to assess level of going to quality business course (Landoni et al., 2020). As well as the companies to review on their own with the absolute best procedures, to inspire for self-evolution, explanation, awareness and to clarify the mindsets connected to lead of functionality (Ward, 2019). Moreover, the business quality the perk of embracing the business superiority versions is assist to heal requests of efficiency and their results, institution capable of discussing assistance, info and interactions, resources for efficiency administration and impression, and guiding for their critical organizing and finding out chances (Wald, 2020).

In various other terms, quality model guideline may boost and have an effect on the company efficiency of a provider (Machta et al., 2019). The previous research studies of the connection

between company distinction and business functionality showed that distinction is a priority to attain jazzed-up (Ebersole, 2020). Moreover, the research suggests that the effect of business distinction on the business efficiency is still different, so this research study recommended that the business distinction variable could describe the operation to possess a higher impact (Zhu et al., 2020). In enhancement, the business superiority is more relevant to the company or company quality (Liu, 2020). As the title suggests, the company superiority is more utilized in the social market companies, whereas the organization distinction is more utilized in the exclusive industries associations (Zhang et al., 2020). However, the business quality is used in high quality monitoring, to improve the company administration amount to the superiority amount which will certainly show a superb end result (Labanauskaitė et al., 2020).

According to the business superiority and company superiority designs are guitars that aid the company to gauge a high level in the superiority road (Hausberg & Korreck, 2020). Along with concerns to the business distinction, explained that the advantages of using the company quality styles are: aid to fix documents of functionality and their end results, institution capable to discuss assistance, details and interactions; devices for functionality monitoring and understanding; and routing for their calculated preparation and finding out possibilities (Frishammar & Parida, 2019).

B. Organisational Performance

Several studies have focused on the company organizational performance, this results from the truth that the relevance of business efficiency in creating institution and the significant ramification of these research studies on business performance and competitiveness (Akram et al., 2019). Moreover, the organizational performance is pertaining to one of the most crucial variables in the regions of strategic management and company research (Al-Dhaafri et al., 2016). Both academicians and specialists carried out numerous research studies on the business efficiency, to clarify the procedure, determinant, antecedents and various other essential traits that enhance the results of the company (Al-Ahbabi et al., 2017). The function of the public industry is to bring in efficiency goals and resource, and if you want to integrate the budget pattern and policy to the financial and nonfinancial relevant information on the control details (Heinicke & Guenther, 2020). As explained by Yusoff et al. (2020), the rate of interest in the performance of the public industry has been developing.

The organizational performance is commonly found in two forms, either as trait dimensions that are scored subjectively by the officer's immediate supervisor based on observed behaviors, or as activities arising from the tasks related to the police function that are scored objectively based on the number of instances per activity (Vila et al., 2018). This model relies on a quantitative appraisal of police activity because it is objective, grounded in relevant activities that relate to the things the police do as well as, closes a gap in previous studies, where researchers may not have operationalized relevant performance indicators that measure effectiveness, efficiency and quality (Tankebe et al., 2016).

The improving police management necessitates a logical structure that connects police activities to intermediate objectives, and to end outcomes (Shane, 2018). This provides the basis for systematic evaluation of effectiveness, efficiency and quality by creating a more rational structure with the aim of developing the technical core of policing (Kane et al., 2018). This enables top administrators to pay closer attention to the logic behind their mission, particularly connecting lower-level activities with higher-level goals to clarify employee

expectations and define departmental obligations (Golubovskaya et al., 2017). On the other hand, the organizational functionality dimension is quite crucial in today's open market and in the changing of the organization settings to analyze the amount of excellence in the company approach path (Ayaz, 2018).

Even with the study on business functionality, there isn't an overall agreement among the article writers and scholars on the organizational functionality definition and permitted method of meaning to the organizational efficiency (Assaad, 2019). The functionality measurement might also be synthesized to investigate the development in the direction of the intended purpose, by recognizing the enhancement options, driving future information allocation, achieving business placement and target congruence, corresponding to each promoting and personal specific attitudes and practices (Kühnen & Hahn, 2019). The company performance pay attention to four areas to determine the performance, this includes maximize stakeholder worth, obtain method quality, boost business knowing and delight client (Harbi et al., 2017).

Even with the research that is carried out on the organizational functionality, there isn't an overall opinion of the writers and academics on the company efficiency interpretation and permitted method of meaning of the business performance (Assaad, 2019). The company performance concentrate on four locations for evaluating efficiency, this includes maximize stakeholder worth, attain process excellence, boost business discovering and joy consumer (Harbi et al., 2017).

C. Management Support

Top administration help towards the execution of information units is illustrated by techniques, which are observed by personal staff members such as supplying training and understanding systems (Chapman, & Schott, 2020). The administration needs to also possess a sturdy devotion to use the unit as a way to attain the company objectives (Hang, 2020). Dedication from elderly managers is destined to urge workers to use an info device (Jarrahi et al., 2020). Any kind of backing from top monitoring, might help employees to be included in any kind of information systems (Ramlah et al., 2007). Some of the essential ideas about information technology execution are that it's the best administration's assistance and the function that managerial entities play in the successful implementation of the information technology remedy (Lin et al., 2010). As was extensively supposed by the literature reviewed within this research study, senior managers need to educate workers about the sight, function of the new unit and its value beforehand, and recognize the project as a best priority (Belhadi et al., 2019).

The management support has been introduced for senior managers only and therefore the police officers may not have experienced a change in management support or discretionary power (Brunetto et al., 2020). The capita resourcing may have increased workloads, there has been no increase in the discretionary power of managers and no increased accountability and therefore discretionary power should be higher than for police (Brunetto et al., 2020). As per previous research, the employees' levels of satisfaction with supervisor-subordinate exchanges, however, the expect the impact to be greatest upon police officers (Kumar, 2020). When police officers' satisfaction with management support is examined, the findings show that police have significantly lower satisfaction with management (Paoline & Gau, 2020).

D. Organisational Excellence and Organizational Performance

The organizational excellence and organizational performance are one of the most necessary measurement indicators for the association's excellence, success, improvement, growth, and competitiveness. They are interrelated, where each of them result in various executing business distinction as a method that includes innovation, which may trigger superior functionality. According to the Antony and Bhattacharyya (2010), contended that existing designs of superiority check out excellence as an outstanding level of functionality. In the business of business functionality, there is a standard question of how companies may seek organization quality, maintain and achieve affordable benefits (Dahlgaard & Dahlgaard-Park, 2006; Watson, 2003).

Organizational excellence is defined as the ongoing initiatives to develop an inner framework of procedures and criteria planned to engage and encourage workers to supply services and products that accomplish client demands within service expectations (Al-Dhaafri et al., 2016; Alamiri et al., 2020; Hashemy et al., 2016). On the other hand, Organizational performance makes up the actual output or results of a company as gauged against its designated outputs (or goals and objectives) (Al-Dhaafri et al., 2016; Rao, 2016; Ubaid et al., 2020). So that, and according to many researchers such as (Rao, 2016; Al Shobakib et al., 2017; Stoyanova & Iliev, 2017; Ubaid et al., 2020) they found out that the use of organizational excellence will help to create more good reputation about the institution and a better communication between the clients and the service provider, and this will lead to a better and higher organizational performance (Aquilani, Silvestri, Ruggieri, & Gatti, 2017; Dawabsheh, Hussein, & Jermsittiparsfert, 2019; Supriyanto et al., 2017; Tortorella, Giglio, Fogliatto, & Sawhney, 2019). and based on that the researcher is expecting significant positive direct effect of organizational excellence on the organizational performance. And this hypothesis is consistent with other hypothesis in others studies such as;(Al-Dhaafri et al., 2016; Aquilani et al., 2017; Hashemy et al., 2016; Rao, 2016; Stoyanova & Iliev, 2017; Tortorella et al., 2019).

- Hypothesis H₁: Innovation has a significant effect on the organizational performance.
- Hypothesis H₂: Customer focus has a significant effect on the organizational performance.
- Hypothesis H₃: Personal commitment has a significant effect on the organizational performance.

E. Management Support as a Moderating Effect

Management support that is represented by Support managers supervise the maintenance and security of technical services and information within an organization, as well as ensuring adequate training and abilities of IT employees (Dwarswaard, Bakker, van Staa, & Boeije, 2016; Lee, Shiue, & Chen, 2016). Furthermore usually management support results in availability of financial resources, in-time allocation of human and other physical resources and also it refers to the delegation of necessary power to project leaders and project team for successful completion of projects (Maes, 2017; Pearce et al., 2016; Wijermans, Conrado, van Steen, Martella, & Li, 2016). On the other hand, and as has been describes in the previous hypotheses, all independent variables expected to have a direct positive impact on organization performance, furthermore, many researchers such as (Abu-Naser & Shobaki, 2017; Dwarswaard et al., 2016; Hersona & Sidharta, 2017; Hitt & Tambe, 2016; Kanyuga, 2019;

Kheng & Muthuveloo, 2019; Kontoghiorghes, 2016; Li, Kim, & Zhao, 2017; Olusadum & Anulika, 2018; Pearce et al., 2016; Wijermans et al., 2016) have studied the moderating role of management support between some of this study variables organizational performance and the studies found out that management support has a positive moderating impact in such relationships (Al-Dhaafri et al., 2016; Hazen et al., 2017; Sturm et al., 2017). And based on that the researcher is expecting significant positive direct moderating effect of management support on the relationship between this study independent variables organizational performance. And this hypothesis is consistent with other hypothesis in others studies such as; (Abu-Naser & Shobaki, 2017; Abualoush et al., 2018; Aljazzaf, 2019; Allen et al., 2016; Anra & Yamin, 2017; Cho et al., 2020; Dharma, 2018; Dwarswaard et al., 2016; Hersona & Sidharta, 2017; Kheng & Muthuveloo, 2019; Muhammedrisaevna et al., 2020; Wahab et al., 2020).

• Hypothesis H4: Management support moderate the relationship between organizational excellence and organizational performance.

F. Conceptual Framework

The proposed model of this study is a combination between three organisational excellence factors, management support, and organisational performance. Innovation, personal commitment, and customer focus are the independent variables that have impact on the organisational performance as the dependent variable. Besides, management support is considered as the moderating variable in the particular model of this study (as seen in Figure 1)





METHODOLOGY

The study assumed that the organisational performance, organisational excellence, and organisational support can be quantified and predicted based on cause effect analysis model. Therefore, this study belongs to positivism philosophy, deduction approach, quantitative methodology, empirical survey study, used cross sectional data. This study is a scientific approach study arranged into systematic steps to formulate hypotheses for the research topic and provide a deductive approach to evaluate that hypotheses.

The actual sample of this research is 388 police officers, which was collected from different police stations and department in Emirate of Dubai. The target or study population chosen for this research is the total number of police officers in all levels and all departments at the Dubai police.

The tools used for data collection is a well-structured survey that adapted from previous studies and adapted to be suitable for this particular study. The survey were organized to ask question in likert-5 format. Likert 5 questionnaire style has been used in social science studies for long time and proved to be a suitable style for measuring human perceptions. The organisational performance scale is based on the balance scorecard and questions sourced from (Kaplan & Norton, 2000; Welter et al., 2011). The management support scale is adapted from (Al Shobaki Mazen et al., 2016; Ismail et al., 2019). The organisational excellence scales are based on different related studies and questions sourced (Parida & Sundaray, 2019; Sadiq, 2014). Structural equation modelling (SEM) techniques are used for statistical data analysis via the SmartPLS software package, which is used in management and social science studies such as (Salem & Alanadoly, 2020; Salem & Salem, 2018).

FINDINGS

In PLS-SEM, two part of data analysis is essential in regression-based models, the reliability and validity tests (measurement model) and the relationships tests (structural Model)

G. Validity and Reliability of Constructs

Several measures have been conducted such as composite reliability, outer loading, convergent validity, and discriminant validity to ensure reliability and validity of the measurement model (Hair Jr et al., 2016; Sekaran & Bougie, 2016). As shown in Tables1, composite reliability is measured by Cronbach's Alpha and all values are above the cut-off value of 0.70. Therefore, the reliability of measurement model is achieved. The average Variance Extracted (AVE) values are above 0.5, therefore convergent validity is achieved. Table 2 shows the matrix of Fornell-Larcker criterion, which indicates that no discriminate validity issues are. Table 3 shows the outer loading of the items in its variables, in which only one variable deleted because of its weak loading below the threshold of 0.708. In addition, outer loading for all the items is above 0.708 with no cross loading from foreign item, therefore indicator reliability is achieved.

Construct	Abbreviation	AVE	Cronbach's Alpha
Organisational Performance - Customer	OP_Cu	0.700	0.786
Organisational Performance – Financial Performance	OP_FP	0.807	0.920
Organisational Performance – Internal Process	OP_IP	0.669	0.754
Organisational Performance – Learning and Growth	OP_LG	0.645	0.816

Construct	Abbreviation	AVE	Cronbach's Alpha
Organisational Excellence – Customer Focus	OE_CF	0.659	0.825
Organisational Excellence - Innovation	OE_I	0.701	0.785
Organisational Excellence – Personal Commitment	OE_PC	0.760	0.837

 Table 2: Discriminant validity – Fornell-Larcker Criterion

	0E_CF	0E_I	0E_PC	OP_Cu	OP_FP	OP_IP	OP_LG
OE_CF	0.837						
OE_I	0.128	0.872					
OE_PC	0.232	0.275	0.837				
OP_Cu	0.288	0.158	0.229	0.898			
OP_FP	0.378	0.137	0.279	0.162	0.818		
OP_IP	0.339	0.456	0.507	0.243	0.224	0.803	
OP_LG	0.416	0.281	0.347	0.319	0.482	0.455	0.849

 Table 3: Outer Loadings of the proposed items

	Before	After
MS_1	0.727	0.750
MS_2	0.740	0.747
MS_3	0.831	0.867
MS_4	0.652	-
MS_5	0.866	0.874
OP_Cu_1	0.862	0.862
OP_Cu_2	0.929	0.929
OP_Cu_3	0.941	0.941
OP_Cu_4	0.859	0.859
OP_FP_1	0.784	0.784

	Before	After
OP_FP_2	0.844	0.844
OP_FP_3	0.823	0.823
OP_IP_1	0.814	0.814
OP_IP_2	0.834	0.834
OP_IP_3	0.792	0.792
OP_IP_4	0.771	0.771
OP_LG_1	0.845	0.845
OP_LG_2	0.809	0.809
OP_LG_3	0.819	0.819
OP_LG_4	0.918	0.918
OE_CF_1	0.837	0.837
OE_CF_2	0.789	0.789
OE_CF_3	0.883	0.883
OE_I_1	0.911	0.911
OE_I_2	0.942	0.942
OE_I_3	0.749	0.749
OE_PC_1	0.820	0.820
OE_PC_2	0.891	0.891
OE_PC_3	0.796	0.796

H. Relationships Examinations and Discussions

The research study relationships are in one instruction along with the ideal degree of evaluation is one-tailed. Table 4 shows the path coefficient assessment with the values of T Statistics and also Beta values for the end result variable organisational performance (OP). All antecedents have significant relationships, in which the p value scores are above 0.05 and the t statistics ratings are above 1.98. The overall organisational excellence has a path coefficient of 0.355. The precedence for the different factors of the organisational excellence based on the path coefficient value are PC (0.750), I (0.683), CF (0.631).

	Path Coefficient	Standard Deviation	T Statistics	P Value (one tailed)	Status
$\text{OE-CF} \rightarrow \text{OP}$	0.631	0.055	11.498	0.000	Significant
OE-I→ OP	0.683	0.045	15.082	0.000	Significant
$OE-PC \rightarrow OP$	0.750	0.033	22.507	0.000	Significant
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Overall OE} \rightarrow \\ \text{OP} \end{array}$	0.355	0.041	8.587	0.000	Significant

Table 4: Path Coefficient Assessment of crisis managen	ment (CM)
--	-----------

Table 5 shows the path coefficient assessment with the values of T Statistics values for the moderating variable management support. Respectively, the p value and t statistics values are out of the threshold acceptable values because 0.883 is out of the 5% significance level. Therefore, management support has no moderating effect on the proposed relationship.

 Table 5: Moderation Assessment of Management Support

	Path Coefficient	Standard Deviation	T Statistics	P Value (one tailed)	Status
Moderating Effect OE \rightarrow OP	0.005	0.034	0.144	0.885	Non- Significant

Overall, direct relationships for the seven predictors of organisational excellence factors are significant; the precedence for the relations based on the path coefficient value are personal commitment (0.750), innovation (0.683), and customer focus (0.631). For the moderating relationships of management support, it has no significant change in the path coefficient and the moderating effect is not significant.

CONTRIBUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study contributes to the knowledge of organisational excellence practices, organisational performance, and managerial support in the police of Dubai. The proposed combination of wide variety of organisational excellence practices and inclusion of management support as a moderator is another theoretical contribution especially when it is applied in the police performance. The study also add knowledge about the effective organisational excellence practices that applied in Dubai police, which assure the fact that Dubai police is one of the most effective police force in the world.

Managers and decision makers in police performance in the UAE and worldwide should emphasize on the personal commitment, innovation, and customer because those are the most contributors to the effective organisational performance. Policy makers should create new policies to assure the compliance with all organisational excellence practices to assure that the police must have the best performance. This study is limited to the empirical examination of the UAE – Dubai police; however, replicating the same design with same research design, but in different countries, will provide extra knowledge to generalize the proposed relations. The interception of management support in the relationship from organisational excellence practices are found to be no significant, additional work is needed to reveal the reason and explain this non logical relation. In addition, the model can be integrated with other other managerial practices and examined to increase the explanation power of the model.

REFERENCES

Abu-Naser, S. S., & Shobaki, M. J. Al. (2017). The Impact of Senior Management Support in the Success of the e-DMS.

Abualoush, S., Masa'deh, R. E., Bataineh, K., & Alrowwad, A. (2018). The role of knowledge management process and intellectual capital as intermediary variables between knowledge management infrastructure and organization performance. Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management, 13, 279–309.

Akram, F., Abrar-ul-Haq, M., & Raza, S. (2019). A Role a Corporate Governance and Firm's Environmental Performance: A Moderating Role of Institutional Regulations. International Journal of Management Studies, 25(2), 19-37.

Al Ahbabi, S. A., Singh, S. K., Balasubramanian, S., & Gaur, S. S. (2019). Employee perception of impact of knowledge management processes on public sector performance. Journal of Knowledge Management, 23(2), 351-373.

Al Shobaki Mazen, J., abuamuna Youssef, m, & Abunaser Samy, S. (2016). The impact of top management support for strategic planning on crisis management : Case study on UNRWA -Gazastrip. International Journal of Academic Research and Development, 1(10), 20–25.

Al Shobakib, M. J., Abu Amuna, Y. M., & Abu Naser, S. S. (2017). Organizational Excellence in Palestinian Universities of Gaza Strip. International Journal of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering, 6(4), 20–30.

Alamiri, M., Ameen, A., Isaac, O., Alrajawy, I., & Al-Shibami, A. H. (2020). The Moderating Role of the Innovation on the Relationship between Achievement, Enablers Organizational Excellence: Organizational and Business Excellence Model. International Journal Of Management And Human Science, 4(2), 1–10.

Al-Dhaafri, H. S., Al-Swidi, A. K., & Al-Ansi, A. A. (2016). Organizational Excellence as the Driver for Organizational Performance: A Study on Dubai Police. International Journal of Business and Management, 11(2), 47.

Al-Dhaafri, H. S., Al-Swidi, A. K., & Yusoff, R. Z. Bin. (2016). The mediating role of TQM and organizational excellence, and the moderating effect of entrepreneurial organizational culture on the relationship between ERP and organizational performance. The TQM Journal.

Aljazzaf, S. (2019). A Configurational Approach to Examining the Influence of Information Technology Management and Governance on Organization Performance.

Allen, C., Vassilev, I., Kennedy, A., & Rogers, A. (2016). Long-term condition self-management support in online communities: a meta-synthesis of qualitative papers. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 18(3), e61.

Alosani, M. S., Yusoff, R., & Al-Dhaafri, H. (2019). The effect of innovation and strategic planning on enhancing organizational performance of Dubai Police. Innovation & Management Review.

Anra, Y., & Yamin, M. (2017). Relationships between lecturer performance, organizational culture, leadership, and achievement motivation. Форсайт, 11(2 (eng)).

Antony, J. P., & Bhattacharyya, S. (2010). Measuring organizational performance and organizational excellence of SMEs–Part 2: an empirical study on SMEs in India. Measuring business excellence.

Aquilani, B., Silvestri, C., Ruggieri, A., & Gatti, C. (2017). A systematic literature review on total quality management critical success factors and the identification of new avenues of research. The TQM Journal.

Ashraf, S., Ilyas, R., Imtiaz, M., & Ahmad, S. (2018). Impact of service quality, corporate image and perceived value on brand loyalty with presence and absence of customer satisfaction: A study of four service sectors of Pakistan. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 8(2), 452-474.

Assaad, R. B. (2019). Public employment in the Middle East and North Africa. IZA World of Labor.

Ayaz, H. (2018). Studying the Performance Appraisal Practices of Public Sector Organizations, an analytical Case of MEPCO. International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 10(1), 108-125.

Baluku, M. M., Kikooma, J. F., Bantu, E., & Otto, K. (2018). Psychological capital and entrepreneurial outcomes: the moderating role of social competences of owners of micro-enterprises in East Africa. Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research, 8(1), 26.

Belhadi, A., Zkik, K., Cherrafi, A., & Sha'ri, M. Y. (2019). Understanding big data analytics for manufacturing processes: insights from literature review and multiple case studies. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 137, 106099.

Brunetto, Y., Farr-Wharton, B., Farr-Wharton, R., Shacklock, K., Azzopardi, J., Saccon, C., & Shriberg, A. (2020). Comparing the impact of management support on police officers' perceptions of discretionary power and engagement: Australia, USA and Malta. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 31(6), 738-759.

Cabeça Serapicos, A. J., Mendes Leite, J., & Fernandes, P. O. (2019). Agency theory approach of the relationship between performance, compensation and value creation in the companies listed on Euronext Lisbon. Contaduría y administración, 64(3).

Chapman, J. M., & Schott, S. (2020). Knowledge coevolution: generating new understanding through bridging and strengthening distinct knowledge systems and empowering local knowledge holders. Sustainability Science, 1-13.

Cho, M., Her, D., & Eom, Y. H. (2020). The Impact of Performance Information Use and Decision Making on Organization Performance. Journal of Convergence for Information Technology, 10(4), 55–64.

Dahlgaard, J. J., & Dahlgaard-Park, S. M. (2006). Lean production, six sigma quality, TQM and company culture. The TQM magazine.

Dahlgaard-Park, S. M. (2009). Towards a human-oriented metrology for improvement and change. Measuring Business Excellence.

Dawabsheh, M., Hussein, A., & Jermsittiparsert, K. (2019). The triangular relationship between TQM, organizational excellence and organizational performance: A case of Arab American University Palestine. Management Science Letters, 9(6), 921-932.

Dawabsheh, M., Hussein, A., & Jermsittiparsert, K. (2019). The triangular relationship between TQM, organizational excellence and organizational performance: A case of Arab American University Palestine. Management Science Letters, 9(6), 921–932.

Dharma, Y. (2018). The effect of work motivation on the employee performance with organization citizenship behavior as intervening variable at Bank Aceh Syariah. Proceedings of MICoMS 2017. Emerald Publishing Limited.

Dwarswaard, J., Bakker, E. J. M., van Staa, A., & Boeije, H. R. (2016). Self-management support from the perspective of patients with a chronic condition: a thematic synthesis of qualitative studies. Health Expectations, 19(2), 194–208.

Ebersole, j. T. (2020). A sight to dwell upon and never forget: illuminating strategies for saving portland's neon signs (doctoral dissertation, university of oregon).

Fernando, Y., Jabbour, C. J. C., & Wah, W. X. (2019). Pursuing green growth in technology firms through the connections between environmental innovation and sustainable business performance: does service capability matter?. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 141, 8-20.

França, C. L., Broman, G., Robert, K. H., Basile, G., & Trygg, L. (2017). An approach to business model innovation and design for strategic sustainable development. Journal of Cleaner Production, 140, 155-166.

Frishammar, J., & Parida, V. (2019). Circular business model transformation: A roadmap for incumbent firms. California Management Review, 61(2), 5-29.

Galbreath, J. (2005). Which resources matter the most to firm success? An exploratory study of resource-based theory. Technovation, 25(9), 979-987.

Golubovskaya, M., Robinson, R. N., & Solnet, D. (2017). The meaning of hospitality: do employees understand?. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management.

Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). Sage Publications.

Hang, N. T. (2020). Research function of planning in administrative works at modern offices. The USV Annals of Economics and Public Administration, 20(1 (31)), 235-242.

Harbi, S. A., Thursfield, D., & Bright, D. (2017). Culture, wasta and perceptions of performance appraisal in Saudi Arabia. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 28(19), 2792-2810.

Hashemy, S. H., Yousefi, M., Soodi, S., & Omidi, B. (2016). Explaining human resource empowerment pattern and organizational excellence among employees of emergency of Guilan's University hospitals. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 230, 6–13.

Hausberg, J. P., & Korreck, S. (2020). Business incubators and accelerators: a co-citation analysis-based, systematic literature review. The Journal of Technology Transfer, 45(1), 151-176.

Hazen, B. T., Bradley, R. V, Bell, J. E., In, J., & Byrd, T. A. (2017). Enterprise architecture: A competence-based approach to achieving agility and firm performance. International Journal of Production Economics, 193, 566–577.

Heinicke, X., & Guenther, T. W. (2020). The role of management controls in the higher education sector: an investigation of different perceptions. European Accounting Review, 29(3), 581-630.

Hersona, S., & Sidharta, I. (2017). INFLUENCE OF LEADERSHIP FUNCTION, MOTIVATION AND WORK DISCIPLINE ON EMPLOYEES'PERFORMANCE. Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen, 15(3), 528–537.

Hitt, L. M., & Tambe, P. (2016). Health care information technology, work organization, and nursing home performance. Ilr Review, 69(4), 834–859.

Idris, I. (2019). Serious and organized crime in Dubai.improving performance in health organizations: The Niguarda Hospital in Milan. International journal of health care quality assurance, 31(4), 337-349.

Ismail, A. I., Majid, A. H. A., Jibrin-Bida, M., & Joarder, M. H. R. (2019). Moderating effect of management support on the relationship between HR practices and employee performance in Nigeria. Global Business Review, 0972150918811487.

Jarrahi, M. H., Sutherland, W., Nelson, S. B., & Sawyer, S. (2020). Platformic Management, Boundary Resources for Gig Work, and Worker Autonomy. Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), 29(1), 153-189.

Kane, E., Evans, E., & Shokraneh, F. (2018). Effectiveness of current policing-related mental health interventions: A systematic review. Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health, 28(2), 108-119.

Kanyuga, L. W. (2019). The Strategic Role of Information Technology on Organization Financial Performance: A Case of XYZ Paper Industry Limited, Kenya. Journal of Information and Technology, 3(1), 1–14.

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2000). Having trouble with your strategy? Then map it. Focusing Your Organization on Strategy—with the Balanced Scorecard, 49(5), 167–176.

Kapsalis, V. C., Kyriakopoulos, G. L., & Aravossis, K. G. (2019). Investigation of ecosystem services and circular economy interactions under an inter-organizational framework. Energies, 12(9), 1734.

Kenneth, J. (2016). Peak, Justice Administration, Police, Courts and Corrections

Kheng, L. L., & Muthuveloo, R. (2019). The Influence of Information Technology (IT) Knowledge on Organization Performance with Adaptability to Change as a Moderator among Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Malaysia: A Conceptual Paper.

Kontoghiorghes, C. (2016). Linking high performance organizational culture and talent management: satisfaction/motivation and organizational commitment as mediators. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 27(16), 1833–1853.

Kühnen, M., & Hahn, R. (2019). From SLCA to positive sustainability performance measurement: A two-tier Delphi study. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 23(3), 615-634.

Kumar, T. V. (2020). The influence of demographic factors and work environment on job satisfaction among police personnel: an empirical study. International Criminal Justice Review, 1057567720944599.

Kunst, V., & Beugelsdijk, S. (2018). Managerial Ownership and Firm Performance:

Labanauskaitė, D., Fiore, M., & Stašys, R. (2020). Use of E-marketing tools as communication management in the tourism industry. Tourism Management Perspectives, 34, 100652.

Landoni, P., Dell'era, C., Frattini, F., Petruzzelli, A. M., Verganti, R., & Manelli, L. (2020). Business model innovation in cultural and creative industries: Insights from three leading mobile gaming firms. Technovation, 92, 102084.

Lee, J.-C., Shiue, Y.-C., & Chen, C.-Y. (2016). Examining the impacts of organizational culture and top management support of knowledge sharing on the success of software process improvement. Computers in Human Behavior, 54, 462–474.

Li, J. J., Kim, W. G., & Zhao, X. R. (2017). Multilevel model of management support and casino employee turnover intention. Tourism Management, 59, 193–204.

Liu, H. M. (2020). Effect of partnership quality on SMEs success: Mediating role of coordination capability and organisational agility. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 1-17.

Lin, R. J., Chen, R. H., & Chiu, K. K. S. (2010). Customer relationship management and innovation capability: an empirical study. Industrial Management & Data Systems.

Li, Y., & Shang, H. (2020). Service quality, perceived value, and citizens' continuous-use intention regarding egovernment: Empirical evidence from China. Information & Management, 57(3), 103197.

Machi, J. (2020). Normalizing and accepting the use of private military and security companies in the United States of America.

Machta, R. M., Maurer, K. A., Jones, D. J., Furukawa, M. F., & Rich, E. C. (2019). A systematic review of vertical integration and quality of care, efficiency, and patient-centered outcomes. Health care management review, 44(2), 159-173.

Maes, S. H. (2017). Service level digital rights management support in a multi-content aggregation and delivery system. Google Patents.

Masood, A., Rafique, R., Qaisar, S., & Musarat, R. (2017). Personality Traits as Predictor of Job Performance in Police Officers. Bahria Journal of Professional Psychology, 16(2).

Muhammedrisaevna, T. M., Mubinovna, R. F., & Kizi, M. N. U. (2020). The role of information technology in organization and management in tourism. Academy, (4 (55)).

Narayan, A. K. (2020). The development and use of performance measures in New Zealand tertiary education institutions. Accounting History, 25(2), 193-218.

NWEIRAN, R. B. (2019). Teachers' Empowerment and the Self-Study Process: An Interpretative Phenomenological Study of Teachers' Perceptions and Lived Experiences of New England Association of Schools and Colleges Self-study within US-Curricula School in Dubai (Doctoral dissertation, The British University in Dubai (BUiD)).

Olusadum, N. J., & Anulika, N. J. (2018). Impact of Motivation on Employee Performance: A Study of Alvan Ikoku Federal College of Eduaction. Sigma, 1, 1.

Paoline III, E. A., & Gau, J. M. (2020). An empirical assessment of the sources of police job satisfaction. Police Quarterly, 23(1), 55-81.

Parida, P. K., & Sundaray, B. K. (2019). Employee Engagement and Organisational Excellence: A Review of Literature. Training & Development Journal, 10(1), 1–10.

Pearce, G., Parke, H. L., Pinnock, H., Epiphaniou, E., Bourne, C. L. A., Sheikh, A., & Taylor, S. J. C. (2016). The PRISMS taxonomy of self-management support: derivation of a novel taxonomy and initial testing of its utility. Journal of Health Services Research & Policy, 21(2), 73–82.

Rahman, M., Islam, R., Husain, W. R. W., & Ahmad, K. (2019). Developing a hierarchical model to enhance business excellence in hotel industry of Bangladesh. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management.

Ramlah, W. (2007). Year Book Statistic of Malaysia. Department of Statistic of Malaysia.

Rao, T. V. (2016). Performance management: toward organizational excellence. SAGE Publications India.

Rautiainen, A., Urquía-Grande, E., & Muñoz-Colomina, C. (2017). Institutional logics in police performance indicator development: a comparative case study of Spain and Finland. European Accounting Review, 26(2), 165-191.

Sadiq, M. A. (2014). Transformational Leadership, Knowledge Management, Entrepreneurial Orientation and Organisational Excellence in the Higher Education Institutions in Nigeria. UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA.

- Salem, S. F., & Alanadoly, A. B. (2020). Personality traits and social media as drivers of word-of-mouth towards sustainable fashion. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-08-2019-0162
- Salem, S. F., & Salem, S. O. (2018). SELF-IDENTITY AND SOCIAL IDENTITY AS DRIVERS OF CONSUMERS'PURCHASE INTENTION TOWARDS LUXURY FASHION GOODS AND WILLINGNESS TO PAY PREMIUM PRICE. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 23(2).

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. John Wiley & Sons.

Shane, J. M. (2018). Improving police use of force: A policy essay on national data collection. Criminal justice policy review, 29(2), 128-148.

Shobakib, M. J. Al, Amuna, Y. M. A., & Naser, S. S. A. (2017). Organizational Excellence in Palestinian Universities of Gaza Strip. International Journal of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering, 6(4), 20–30.

Stoyanova, T., & Iliev, I. (2017). Employee engagement factor for organizational excellence. International Journal of Business and Economic Sciences Applied Research (IJBESAR), 10(1), 23–29.

Sturm, R. E., Vera, D., & Crossan, M. (2017). The entanglement of leader character and leader competence and its impact on performance. The Leadership Quarterly, 28(3), 349–366.

Supriyanto, A. S., Soetjipto, B. E., Ekowati, V. M., Suharto, S., Arief, M., & Rahayu, W. P. (2017). The role of workplace spirituality as moderator the effect of soft total quality management on organization effectiveness. International Journal of Economic Research, 14(5), 275–284.

Tankebe, J., Reisig, M. D., & Wang, X. (2016). A multidimensional model of police legitimacy: A cross-cultural assessment. Law and Human Behavior, 40(1), 11.

Tortorella, G., Giglio, R., Fogliatto, F. S., & Sawhney, R. (2019). Mediating role of learning organization on the relationship between total quality management and operational performance in Brazilian manufacturers. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management.

Ubaid, A. M., Dweiri, F. T., & Ojiako, U. (2020). Organizational excellence methodologies (OEMs): a systematic literature review. International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management, 1–38.

Vila, B., James, S., & James, L. (2018). How police officers perform in encounters with the public. Policing: An International Journal.

Wahab, S. R. A., Shin, T.-H., & Hyun, K.-S. (2020). HOW ORGANIZATION PERFORMANCE DIFFER FROM KOREAN AND MALAYSIAN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT) COMPANIES? Journal of Human Capital Development (JHCD), 13(1).

Wald, E. (2020). Electing Economics: Election Law's Efficiency-Convergence Dilemma. Available at SSRN 3678254.

Ward, C. P. (2019). Innovating for Equity: Schools Designed and Co-Created to Disrupt Institutionalized and Systemic Racism (Doctoral dissertation).

Waterman, R. H., & Peters, T. J. (1982). In search of excellence: Lessons from America's best-run companies. New York: Harper & Row.

Watson, I. (2003). Applying knowledge management: techniques for building corporate memories. Morgan Kaufmann.

Welter, F., Vossen, R., Richert, A., & Isenhardt, I. (2011). Network management for clusters of excellence-a balanced-scorecard approach as a performance measurement tool. In Automation, Communication and Cybernetics in Science and Engineering 2009/2010 (pp. 195–207). Springer.

Wijermans, N., Conrado, C., van Steen, M., Martella, C., & Li, J. (2016). A landscape of crowd-management support: An integrative approach. Safety Science, 86, 142–164.

Yusoff, Y. M., Nejati, M., Kee, D. M. H., & Amran, A. (2020). Linking green human resource management practices to environmental performance in hotel industry. Global Business Review, 21(3), 663-680.

Zhang, Y., Wang, H., & Zhou, X. (2020). Dare to Be Different? Conformity Versus Differentiation in Corporate Social Activities of Chinese Firms and Market Responses. Academy of Management Journal, 63(3), 717-742.

Zhu, Z., Zhao, J., & Bush, A. A. (2020). The effects of e-business processes in supply chain operations: Process component and value creation mechanisms. International Journal of Information Management, 50, 273-285.