## VOLUME- 3 | ISSUE- 10 OCTOBER 2020



# International Research Journal of Ayurveda & Yoga



An International Peer Reviewed Journal for Ayurveda & Yoga

| SJIF Impact Factor : 5.69 | ISSN:2581-785X   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Research                  | Research Article |  |  |  |  |  |

A Comparative Study Of *Medoghna Rasayana Vati & Shilajitwadi Vati* In The Management Of *Madhumeha* W.S.R. To Diabetes Mellitus.

## Dr. G. Vinay Mohan<sup>1</sup>, Dr. P. Shrinivas Rao<sup>2</sup>, Khaire Ajit patilbuva<sup>3</sup>

- 1- Principal and H.O.D-Department of Kayachikitsa, Shri Shivyogeshwar Rural Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital, Inchal.
- 2- Professor-Department of Kayachikitsa, Shri Shivyogeshwar Rural Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital, Inchal.
- 3- P.G.Scholar, Kayachikitsa Department, Shri Shivyogeshwar Rural Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital, Inchal.

**ABSTRACT**: Besides the miraculous achievement of modern medical science, humanity is passing through a horror of disease and drug phobia, particularly in developing countries like India, where poverty and illiteracy account for the man's ignorance towards the principles of health care. In modern medical science symptomatology of Madhumeha is equivalent to the features of Diabetes mellitus. Among the several health problems Diabetes mellitus is a giant disease considered as one of the arch enemies of the mankind. Diabetes and its complications pose a major threat to future public health resources throughout the world. The Ayurvedic management of Diabetes aims not only to achieve a strict glycemic control but also to treat the root cause of the disease. For its various modalities of treatment are developed which depends upon the underline pathology. Madhumeha has been classified under the Vatika type of *Prameha*. The *Vata* may be provoked either directly by its etiological factors, *Avarana* by *Kapha* and *Pitta* to its path or by continuous depletion of *Dhatus*. Vagbhata has classified the Madhumeha into two categories viz. Dhatukshayajanya Madhumeha and Avaranajanya Madhumeha. The factors which provoke the Vata directly causes Apatarpanajanya Madhumeha while the factors which provoke Kapha and Pitta causes Santarpanajanya Madhumeha. The Apatarpanajanya Madhumeha patients are usually Asthene and are equivalent to Type I Diabetes mellitus, while the Santarpanajanya Madhumeha patients are Obese equivalent to Type II Diabetes mellitus. In Avaranajanya Madhumeha, Kapha is the predominant Dosha while the important Dushyas are Meda and Kleda. Type 2 Diabetes mellitus is mainly associated with Avaranajanya Samprapti. In Madhumeha, the main Avaraka are Kapha, Pitta, Rasa, Mamsa and Meda, and out of these Meda is predominant. Principle of management of Avarana is Sramsana, Rasayana treatment and the use of Medoghna Dravyas. Hence the formulations of Medoghna Rasayana Vati & Shilajitwadi Vati is indicated, especially in treating the Madhumeha.

Article received on-17 Sept Article send to reviewer on-21 Sept. Article send back to author on-5 Oct. Article again received after correction on -11 Oct. **Corresponding Author** : Khaire Ajit patilbuva,P.G.Scholar,Kayachikitsa Department,Shri Shivyogeshwar Rural Ayurvedic Medical College and Hospital,Inchal.Email-drajitk25@gmail.com

How to Cite the Article : Dr. G. Vinay Mohan, Dr. P. Shrinivas Rao, Khaire Ajit patilbuva, A Comparative Study of *Medoghna Rasayana Vati & Shilajitwadi Vati* in the Management of *Madhumeha* w.s.r. to Diabetes Mellitus., IRJAY, October: 2020 Vol- 3, Issue-10;1-21, Doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.47223/IRJAY.2020.3101</u>

## **INTRODUCTION:**

As per W.H.O diabetes is growing challenge in India with estimated 8.7% diabetic population in age group of 20 and 70 years. The rising prevalence of diabetes and other non -communicable diseases is driven by combination of factors- rapid urbanization, sedentary life style, unhealthy diets, tobacco use, and increasing life expectancy. Obesity and overweight are the most important risk factors responsible for diabetes<sup>1</sup>. In ancient treatise we find a vivid description of the disease solely attributed to metabolic derangement along with genetic predisposition, *Prameha* is one of them. It is described as *Kulaja Vyadhi* ultimately accepted the fact of hereditary nature of the disease<sup>2</sup>. Prameha has Santarpanottha and Apatarpanottha Samprapti as mentioned by Samhitas<sup>3</sup>. Evaluation of present scenario and causative factors of type 2 diabetes

mellitus, suggest its similarity with the Santarpanottha Prameha is an age long disease knows from Vedic period and now is leading lifestyle disorder. According to Ayurveda beliefs, factors such as stress, unhealthy diet, weather and strained relationship can all influence the balance that exists between a person's *Doshas*, unbalanced energies in terns leave individuals more susceptible to disease. In ancient treatise we find a vivid description of the disease solely attributed to metabolic derangement along with genetic predisposition. *Prameha* is one of them. Acharya Sushruta considered it fewer one among the eight grave diseases<sup>4</sup>. In long term diabetes mellitus widespread pathological changes are going on like vascular lumen narrowing early atherosclerosis. Sclerosis of glomerular capillaries, retinopathy, neuropathy and

peripheral vascular insufficiency. Thus, only management of glycemic condition is not sufficient; there is need of satisfactory therapeutic modalities free from side effects. Currently various anti diabetes mellitus drugs in modern medicine are used to control hyperglycemia and needed to be taken for life time. These medicines may cause side effects like GI disturbance, renal, hepatic impairment etc. Also these anti diabetes mellitus drugs are sometimes not sufficient for proper control of diabetes mellitus. The new trend is turning to be more suggestive to the management with the help of diet, exercise and Ayurvedic management. Ayurveda emphasizes on the management of *Prameha* with *Pathyakar* Ahar, Vyayam and Nidan Parivarjan Chikitsa along with various Kalpas. These Kalpas stated in Samhita are Hetu and *Vyadhi* Viparit targeting the causative Doshas and Dhatu. Hence it gives us a hope that the multiple treatment modalities mentioned in Ayurveda acts on the basic pathology of the disease and help in better control.

## AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

 To study the etiology and *Samprapti* of disease *Madhumeha* according to *Ayurvedic* texts in the context of disease Diabetes mellitus Type 2.

- To assess the efficacy of *Medoghna Rasayana* in the management of *Madhumeha* (Diabetes mellitusType 2).
- To evaluate the efficacy of *Shilajitwadi Vati* in the management of *Madhumeha* (Diabetes mellitus Type 2).
- 4. To compare the effect of *Medoghna Rasayana Vati & Shilajitwadi Vati.*

# MATERIALS & METHODS: Criteria of Diagnosis:

The patients were diagnosed on the basis of Symptoms of *Madhumeha*, and blood sugar level (fasting and post prandial), urine sugar level.

Ethical permission number:-SSRAMC/IECC/2018, Dated-23-3-2018

## Inclusive criteria-

1) Patient between ages 30-60 yrs.

2) Patients having *Granthokta lakshanas* of *Madhumeha* will be included in this study.

3) Patients with F.B.S. level more than 126mg/dl and P.P.B.S. level more than 200mg/dl were selected for study.

4) Understanding and begin willing to sign the inform consent form

#### **Exclusive criteria-**

1) Patients having any associated major illness like renal failure, Cardiac problem, hypersensitivity reactions to any drug.  Diabetes mellitus due to other hormonal disturbances like pheochromocytoma, Acromegaly, Thyrotoxicosis etc.

## **STUDY GROUPS**

#### Table no 1:- Showing groups of management

|                               | Trial group                    | Control group                  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                               |                                | Control group                  |
|                               |                                |                                |
| Drug                          | Medoghna Rasayan Vati          | Shilajatvadi Vati              |
| Dose                          | 250 mg                         | 250 mg                         |
| Duration                      | 28 days                        | 28 days                        |
| Sevan Kala                    | After meal                     | After meal                     |
| Route                         | Oral                           | Oral                           |
| Anupana                       | Lukewarm water                 | Lukewarm water                 |
| No. of patients               | 20                             | 20                             |
| Follow u <mark>p study</mark> | Every week and whenever needed | Every week and whenever needed |

## **CRITERIA FOR ASSESSMENT.**

Patients were assessed on the basis of the following criteria:

- Improvement in signs and symptoms of disease on the basis of the symptoms score.
- Fasting Blood Sugar. and Postprandial Blood Sugar levels.

Urine Routine the patients were examined weekly and the changes observed in the signs and symptoms were assessed by adopting suitable scoring method and the objective signs by using appropriate clinical tools. The detail assessment of clinical signs and symptoms are discussed below:

1. Prabhuta Mutrata (Polyuria)

#### **Quantity of urine (in liter)**

- 1} 1.50 to 2.00 0
- 2} 2.00 to 2.50 1
- 3} 2.50 to 3.00 2
- 4} 3.00 and onwards 3

#### **Frequency of urine**

1} 3 - 6 times per day, rarely at night - 0

2} 6 – 9 times per day, 0 – 2 times per night -1

3} 9 – 12 times per day, 2 – 4 times per night – 2

4} More than 12 times per day, more than 4 times per night - 3

#### 2) Kshudha (Appetite)

1 Regular usual -0

2} Slightly increased (1 - 2 meals) - 1

3} Moderately increased (3 - 4 meals) - 2

4} Markedly increased (5 – 6 meals) - 3

#### 3) Mutramadhurya (Glycosuria)

1 } Absence of Glucose in urine -0

2 <0.5% Glucose in urine -1

3} 0.05 - 1.0% of Glucose in urine -2

4}1.0 – 2.0% of Glucose in urine – 3

5 >2.0% Glucose in urine - 4

#### 4) Swedadhikya (Perspiration)

1} Sweating after heavy work and fast movement or in hot weather -0

2}Profuse sweating after moderate work and movement -1

3}Sweating after little work and movement (stepping ladder etc.) – 2

4} Profuse sweating after little work and movement – 3

5} Sweating even at rest or in cold weather – 4

5) Daurbalya (Weakness)
1 Can do routine exercise/work - 0
2 Can do moderate exercise with hesitancy - 1
3 Can do mild exercise only, with difficulty - 2

4} Cannot do mild exercise too - 3

# 6) Alasya/Utsahahani (General Debility)

1 } No Alasya (doing satisfactory work with proper vigor and in time) -0

2} Doing satisfactory work/late initiation,like to stand in comparison to walk - 1

## VOLUME- 3 | ISSUE- 10 OCTOBER 2020

3} Doing unsatisfactory work/late initiation, like to sit in comparison to stand -2

4} Doing little work very slow, like to lie down in comparison to sit. -3

5} Don't want to do work/no initiation, like to sleep in comparison to lie down - 4

#### 7) Nidradhikya (Sleep)

1} Normal sleep, 6 - 8 hours/24 hours - 0

## **OBSERVATION AND RESULTS**

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical results of (*Medoghnarasayan Vati*) in Group A and Group B patients in (*Shilajitwadi Vati*) before and after treatment. Total 40 patients were registered

## RESULTS

#### Effects of Group-A and B

2} Sleep up to 8 hours/24 hours with Angagaurava - 1

3} Sleep up to 8 hours/24 hours with *Angagaurava and Jrimbha* – 2

4} Sleep up to 10 hours/24 hours with Tandra – 3

5} Sleep up to >10 hours/24 hours with *Tandra & Klama* – 4

in this study. Out of that all 40 patients were studied in this project. 20 patients were in group A while 20 were in B group. Each patient was observed thoroughly and noted neatly. The observations are recorded and necessary charts and graphs were made.

|         | GROUP A |        |      |       |       |         |         |            |         |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---------|--------|------|-------|-------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Symptom | Day 0   | Mean   |      | BT-AT | %     | S.D (±) | S.E (±) | T<br>Value | p value |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | Day 7  | 1.70 | 0.80  | 32.00 | 0.523   | 0.120   | 4.29       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 2.50    | Day 14 | 0.55 | 1.95  | 78.00 | 0.686   | 0.028   | 9.52       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
| PRABU   |         | DAY 28 | 0.65 | 1.85  | 74.00 | 0.587   | 0.135   | 11.67      | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
| TMUTR   | GROUP B |        |      |       |       |         |         |            |         |  |  |  |  |
| ATA     |         | Day 7  | 1.70 | 0.80  | 32.00 | 0.410   | 0.094   | 4.66       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 2.50    | Day 14 | 1.15 | 1.35  | 54.00 | 0.489   | 0.022   | 9.58       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | DAY 28 | 0.45 | 2.05  | 82.00 | 0.686   | 0.157   | 12.67      | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |

Table-2-Effect of Group-A and B on Prabutmutrata of Madhumeha.

#### Effect on Prabutmutrata

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A *Prabutmutrata* revealed are given in detail in Table No.2. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 2.50 before the treatment was reduced to 0.65 after the treatment with 74% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 1. In

this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B *Prabutmutrata* revealed are given in detail in Table No.2. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 2.50 before the treatment was reduced to 0.45 after the treatment with 82% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 1.



|             | GROUP A |               |      |       |       |                |                |       |         |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---------|---------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Symptom     |         | Mean          |      |       | %     | <b>S.D</b> (±) | <b>S.E</b> (±) | Т     | p value |  |  |  |  |
|             | Day 0   |               |      | BT-AT | 70    | <b>5.D</b> (±) | <b>D.E</b> (±) | Value | p value |  |  |  |  |
|             |         | Day 7         | 2.15 | 0.65  | 23.21 | 0.489          | 0.112          | 4.06  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 2.80    | Day 14        | 1.25 | 1.55  | 55.36 | 0.510          | 0.026          | 11.46 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|             |         | <b>DAY 28</b> | 0.20 | 2.60  | 92.86 | 0.503          | 0.115          | 20.03 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
| KSHUD<br>HA | GROUP B |               |      |       |       |                |                |       |         |  |  |  |  |
|             |         | Day 7         | 1.85 | 0.95  | 33.93 | 0.224          | 0.051          | 6.65  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|             | 2.80    | Day 14        | 1.20 | 1.60  | 57.14 | 0.503          | 0.012          | 12.33 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|             |         | DAY 28        | 0.75 | 2.05  | 73.21 | 0.510          | 0.117          | 12.08 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |

#### Table-3 Effect of Group-A and B on Kshudha of Madhumeha.

#### Effect on Kshudha

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A *Kshudha* revealed are given in detail in Table No.3. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 2.80 before the treatment was reduced to 0.20 after the treatment with 92.86% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 2:

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B *Kshudha* revealed are given in detail in Table No.3. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 2.80 before the treatment was reduced to 0.75 after the treatment with 73.21% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 2:



|         | GROUP A |        |      |       |       |         |         |            |         |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---------|--------|------|-------|-------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Symptom | Day 0   | Mean   |      | BT-AT | %     | S.D (±) | S.E (±) | T<br>Value | p value |  |  |  |  |
|         | 2 uj 0  | Day 7  | 2.85 | 0.40  | 12.31 | 0.503   | 0.115   | 3.11       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 3.25    | Day 14 | 1.85 | 1.40  | 43.08 | 0.503   | 0.026   | 8.50       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
| MUTR    |         | DAY 28 | 0.40 | 2.85  | 87.69 | 0.587   | 0.135   | 19.00      | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
| AMDH    | GROUP B |        |      |       |       |         |         |            |         |  |  |  |  |
| URYA    |         | Day 7  | 2.45 | 1.00  | 28.99 | 0.324   | 0.074   | 5.65       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 3.45    | Day 14 | 1.40 | 2.05  | 59.42 | 0.394   | 0.017   | 12.80      | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | DAY 28 | 0.85 | 2.60  | 75.36 | 0.598   | 0.137   | 14.95      | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |

#### Table-4, Effect of Group-A and B on Mutramdhurya of Madhumeha

#### Effect on Mutramdhurya

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A *Mutramdhurya* revealed are given in detail in Table No.4. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.25 before the treatment was not reduced to 0.40 after the treatment with 87.69% improvement and there is a statistically significant (P<0.05). Results are graphically represented in figure no 3:

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B *Mutramdhurya* revealed are given in detail in Table No.4. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.45 before the treatment was not reduced to 0.85 after the treatment with 75.36% improvement and there is a statistically significant (P<0.05).Results are graphically represented in figure no 3:



Table-5, Effect of Group-A and B on Swedadhikya of Madhumeha

|         | GROUP A |        |      |       |       |                |                |       |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---------|--------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Symptom |         | Mean s |      |       | %     | <b>S.D</b> (±) | <b>S.E</b> (±) | Т     | p value |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Day 0   |        |      | BT-AT | /0    | <b>5.D</b> (±) | <b>D.L</b> (±) | Value | p value |  |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | Day 7  | 2.85 | 0.45  | 13.64 | 0.510          | 0.117          | 3.38  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 3.30    | Day 14 | 1.85 | 1.45  | 43.94 | 0.510          | 0.027          | 10.88 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWEDA   |         | DAY 28 | 0.40 | 2.90  | 87.88 | 0.553          | 0.127          | 18.84 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DHIKY   | GROUP B |        |      |       |       |                |                |       |         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Α       |         | Day 7  | 2.35 | 1.00  | 29.85 | 0.000          | 0.000          | 6.46  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 3.35    | Day 14 | 1.40 | 1.95  | 58.21 | 0.394          | 0.000          | 12.43 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | DAY 28 | 1.15 | 2.20  | 65.67 | 0.616          | 0.141          | 11.85 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Effect on Swedadhikya.

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A *Swedadhikya* revealed are given in detail in Table No.5. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.30 before the treatment was reduced to 0.40 after the treatment with 87.88% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are

graphically represented in figure no 4: In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B **Swedadhikya** revealed are given in detail in Table No.5. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.35 before the treatment was reduced to 1.15 after the treatment with 65.67% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 4:



Table-6, Effect of Group-A and B on Daurbalya of Madhumeha

|           | GROUP A |        |         |       |       |                |         |       |         |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|---------|--------|---------|-------|-------|----------------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|
|           |         | Mear   | 1 score |       |       |                |         |       |         |  |  |  |  |
| Symptom   | D       |        |         |       | %     | <b>S.D</b> (±) | S.E (±) | T     | p value |  |  |  |  |
|           | ay<br>0 |        |         | BT-AT |       |                |         | Value | •       |  |  |  |  |
|           |         | Day 7  | 2.40    | 0.40  | 14.29 | 0.503          | 0.115   | 2.76  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|           | 2.80    | Day 14 | 1.40    | 1.40  | 50.00 | 0.503          | 0.026   | 9.65  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|           |         | DAY 28 | 0.30    | 2.50  | 89.29 | 0.513          | 0.118   | 17.91 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
| Daurbalya | GROUP B |        |         |       |       |                |         |       |         |  |  |  |  |
|           |         | Day 7  | 1.85    | 0.95  | 33.93 | 0.224          | 0.051   | 7.72  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|           | 2.80    | Day 14 | 1.15    | 1.65  | 58.93 | 0.489          | 0.012   | 13.41 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|           |         | DAY 28 | 0.80    | 2.00  | 71.43 | 0.459          | 0.105   | 12.09 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |

#### Effect on Daurbalya:-

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A *Daurbalya* revealed are given in detail in Table No.6. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 2.80 before the treatment was reduced to 0.30 after the treatment with 89.29% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are

graphically represented in figure no 5. In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B **Daurbalya** revealed are given in detail in Table No.6. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 2.80 before the treatment was reduced to 0.80 after the treatment with 71.43% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 5:



Table-7, Effect of Group-A and B on Alasya of Madhumeha

|         | GROUP A |        |       |       |              |                |                |       |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Symptom |         | Mean s | score |       | %            | <b>S.D</b> (±) | <b>S.E</b> (±) | Т     | p value |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Day 0   |        |       | BT-AT | /0           | <b>5.D</b> (±) | <b>5.L</b> (±) | Value | p value |  |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | Day 7  | 2.95  | 0.60  | <u>16.90</u> | 0.503          | 0.115          | 4.82  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 3.55    | Day 14 | 1.90  | 1.65  | 46.48        | 0.489          | 0.026          | 12.38 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | DAY 28 | 0.45  | 3.10  | 87.32        | 0.553          | 0.127          | 19.21 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alasya  | GROUP B |        |       |       |              |                |                |       |         |  |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | Day 7  | 2.55  | 1.00  | 28.17        | 0.000          | 0.000          | 6.20  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 3.55    | Day 14 | 1.50  | 2.05  | 57.75        | 0.224          | 0.000          | 12.67 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         |         | DAY 28 | 1.00  | 2.55  | 71.83        | 0.510          | 0.117          | 16.62 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Effect on Alasya:-

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A *Alasya* revealed are given in detail in Table No.7. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.55 before the treatment was reduced to 0.45 after the treatment with 87.32% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically

represented in figure no 6.In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B *Alasya* revealed are given in detail in Table No.7. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.55 before the treatment was reduced to 1.00 after the treatment with 71.83% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 6



|                   | <b>GROUP A</b> |        |      |       |       |                |                |       |         |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|----------------|--------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Symptom           | Dor: 0         | Mean s |      |       | %     | <b>S.D</b> (±) | <b>S.E</b> (±) | T     | p value |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Day 0          |        |      | BT-AT |       |                |                | Value | -       |  |  |  |  |
|                   |                | Day 7  | 2.70 | 0.40  | 12.90 | 0.503          | 0.115          | 3.18  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|                   | 3.10           | Day 14 | 1.60 | 1.50  | 48.39 | 0.607          | 0.026          | 11.38 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|                   |                | DAY 28 | 0.35 | 2.75  | 88.71 | 0.444          | 0.102          | 21.27 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
| Nidradh<br>ikyata | GROUP B        |        |      |       |       |                |                |       |         |  |  |  |  |
| ikyulu            |                | Day 7  | 2.35 | 1.00  | 29.85 | 0.000          | 0.000          | 6.46  | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|                   | 3.35           | Day 14 | 1.25 | 2.10  | 62.69 | 0.308          | 0.000          | 14.21 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|                   |                | DAY 28 | 0.80 | 2.55  | 76.12 | 0.510          | 0.117          | 17.86 | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |

#### Table-8, Effect of Group-A and B on Nidradhikyata of Madhumeha

#### Effect on Nidradhikyata:-

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A *Nidradhikyata* revealed are given in detail in Table No.8. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.10 before the treatment was reduced to 0.35 after the treatment with 88.71% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are

graphically represented in figure no 7,In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B *Nidradhikyata* revealed are given in detail in Table No.8. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.35 before the treatment was reduced to 0.80 after the treatment with 76.12% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 7:



|         | GROUP A   |        |        |       |       |                |                |            |         |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Symptom | Dov 0     | Mean   |        | BT-AT | %     | <b>S.D</b> (±) | <b>S.E</b> (±) | T<br>Value | p value |  |  |  |  |
|         | Day 0     | D 7    |        |       |       |                |                |            | -0.05   |  |  |  |  |
|         | 1 4 9 9 7 | Day 7  | 139.10 | 8.95  | 6.05  | 4.161          | 0.955          | 2.07       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 148.05    | Day 14 | 119.55 | 28.50 | 19.25 | 12.484         | 0.219          | 6.89       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         |           | DAY 28 | 110.60 | 37.45 | 25.30 | 13.594         | 3.119          | 9.72       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
| FBS     | GROUP B   |        |        |       |       |                |                |            |         |  |  |  |  |
|         |           | Day 7  | 140.40 | 10.75 | 7.11  | 2.633          | 0.604          | 2.29       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         | 151.15    | Day 14 | 123.90 | 27.25 | 18.03 | 11.566         | 0.139          | 6.82       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |
|         |           | DAY 28 | 113.25 | 37.90 | 25.07 | 12.977         | 2.977          | 9.81       | < 0.05  |  |  |  |  |

#### Effect on FBS:-

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A **FBS** revealed are given in detail in Table No.9. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 148.05 before the treatment was reduced to 110.60 after the treatment with 25.30% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are

graphically represented in figure no 8.In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B **FBS** revealed are given in detail in Table No.9. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 151.15 before the treatment was reduced to 113.25 after the treatment with 25.07% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 8:



| Table-10, Effect of | <b>Group-A</b> a | nd B on PPBS | of Madhumeha |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                     |                  |              |              |

| Symptom | GROUP A |        |        |        |       |         |         |            |         |  |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--|
|         | Day 0   | Mean   |        | BT-AT  | %     | S.D (±) | S.E (±) | T<br>Value | p value |  |
| PPBS    | 224.20  | Day 7  | 200.20 | 24.00  | 10.70 | 12.435  | 2.853   | 4.39       | < 0.05  |  |
|         |         | Day 14 | 151.25 | 72.95  | 32.54 | 17.157  | 0.654   | 14.98      | < 0.05  |  |
|         |         | DAY 28 | 134.60 | 89.60  | 39.96 | 19.022  | 4.364   | 20.51      | < 0.05  |  |
|         | GROUP B |        |        |        |       |         |         |            |         |  |
|         | 242.45  | Day 7  | 220.85 | 21.60  | 8.91  | 5.595   | 1.284   | 3.29       | < 0.05  |  |
|         |         | Day 14 | 150.05 | 92.40  | 38.11 | 22.488  | 0.294   | 17.86      | < 0.05  |  |
|         |         | DAY 28 | 134.55 | 107.90 | 44.50 | 22.962  | 5.268   | 21.00      | < 0.05  |  |

#### **Effect on PPBS:-**

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A **PPBS** revealed are given in detail in Table No.10. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 224.20 before the treatment was reduced to 134.60 after the treatment with 39.96% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure

no 9. In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B **PPBS** revealed are given in detail in Table No.10. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 242.45 before the treatment was reduced to 134.55 after the treatment with 44.50% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 9:



| Symptom        | GROUP A                                  |        |       |        |                |         |            |         |        |  |
|----------------|--|--------|-------|--------|----------------|---------|------------|---------|--------|--|
|                | Mean score           Day 0         BT-AT |        |       | %      | <b>S.D</b> (±) | S.E (±) | T<br>Value | p value |        |  |
| Urine<br>Sugar | 3.05+                                    | Day 7  | 2.60+ | 0.45+  | 14.75          | 0.510   | 0.117      | 2.21    | < 0.05 |  |
|                |  | Day 14 | 1.50+ | 1.55+  | 50.82          | 0.510   | 0.027      | 7.57    | < 0.05 |  |
|                |  | DAY 28 | 0.25+ | 2.80+  | 91.80          | 0.616   | 0.141      | 14.24   | < 0.05 |  |
|                | GROUP B                                  |        |       |        |                |         |            |         |        |  |
|                | 3.40+                                    | Day 7  | 2.85+ | 0.55+  | 16.18          | 0.510   | 0.117      | 3.18    | < 0.05 |  |
|                |  | Day 14 | 2.15+ | 1.25+  | 36.76          | 0.639   | 0.027      | 6.67    | < 0.05 |  |
|                |  | DAY 28 | 1.45+ | 1.95 + | 57.35          | 0.759   | 0.174      | 10.25   | < 0.05 |  |

#### Table-11, Effect of Group-A and B on Urine Sugar of Madhumeha

#### Effect on Urine Sugar:-

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-A **Urine Sugar** revealed are given in detail in Table No.11. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.05 before the treatment was reduced to 0.25 after the treatment with 91.80% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 10:

In this work of 20 patients studied in *Madhumeha* with Group-B **Urine Sugar** revealed are given in detail in Table No.11. Statistical analysis showed that the mean score which was 3.40 before the treatment was reduced to 1.45 after the treatment with 57.35% improvement and there is a statistically significant. (P<0.05) results are graphically represented in figure no 10:



#### ASSESSMENT OF TOTAL EFFECT OF THERAPY

Table No 12. Overall effect of Medoghnarasayan Vati Group-A

| EFFECT OF TREATMENT IN GROUP - A |                 |    |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| Class                            | No of patients  |    |  |  |  |  |
| 0-25%                            | 0-25% No change |    |  |  |  |  |
| 26%-50%                          | Mild            | 0  |  |  |  |  |
| 51% - 75%                        | Moderate        | 1  |  |  |  |  |
| 76% - 100%                       | Marked          | 19 |  |  |  |  |

## Fig no. 11 Result on Group A



Effects of *Shilajitwdi Vati* (Group-B)

| Table No. | 13 ( | Overall   | effect | of Group-B  |
|-----------|------|-----------|--------|-------------|
|           |      | O TOL GIL | CHICCU | or or oup D |

| EFFECT OF TREATMENT IN GROUP – B |           |                |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Class                            | Grading   | No of patients |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-25%                            | No change | 0              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26%-50%                          | Mild      | 0              |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>51% - 75%</u>                 | Moderate  | 11             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76% - 100%                       | Marked    | 9              |  |  |  |  |  |

# Fig no. 12 Result on Group B



Table No.14 Comparative results of Group-A and Group-B

# VOLUME- 3 | ISSUE- 10 OCTOBER 2020

| Signs and Symptoms        | Group A<br>(Mean<br>Score) | Group B<br>(Mean<br>Score) | T Value            | P Value |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Prabhutmutrata            | 1.35                       | 1.45                       | 0.79               | >0.05   |
| Kshudha                   | 1.60                       | 1.65                       | 0.40               | >0.05   |
| Mutramadhurya             | 2.09                       | 2.04                       | 0.38               | >0.05   |
| Swedadhiky <mark>a</mark> | 2.10                       | 2.06                       | 0.30               | >0.05   |
| Daur <mark>baly</mark> a  | 1.73                       | 1.65                       | 0.64               | >0.05   |
| Alasya                    | 2.21                       | 2.15                       | 0.53               | >0.05   |
| Nidradhikyata             | 1.94                       | 1.94                       | 0.00               | >0.05   |
| FBS                       | 129.33                     | 132.18                     | 0.88               | >0.05   |
| PPBS                      | 177.56                     | 186.98                     | 2.61               | < 0.05  |
| URINE SUGAR               | 1.85                       | 2.46                       | <mark>4.</mark> 53 | < 0.05  |



## VOLUME- 3 | ISSUE- 10 OCTOBER 2020

| Group A | Group B | Mean<br>Difference | SE (±) | T Value | P value |
|---------|---------|--------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| 87.11   | 73.29   | 13.82              | 2.08   | 6.58    | <0.05   |

#### Table no 15 Comparative analysis of results of Group A and Group B

Comparative analysis of the overall effect of the treatments in both the groups was done by statistically with Un Paired T test. The test shows that the treatment is significant in Group A when compared to Group B. Group A overall result is 87.11% and Group B overall result is 73.29%.

## DISCUSSION:

Ayurveda in fact is the first medical science which identified, diagnosed and managed Madhumeha. Madhumeha can be co related with Diabetes Mellitus which is having similar pathogenesis and manifestations. Madhumeha mostly originates due to the individuals sedentary life, changing life style, increased stress and strain. Ayurveda divides the various hetus of the madhumeha as, Ahara Vidhi Viruddha Hetus likeVishmashan and Adhyashan, Jalapana Vidhiviruddha Hetu like Ushapana, Nishapana Bhojanottar Jalapana, Viharaj Hetus like Divaswap, Ratro jagaran Avyayam, Mansik Hetu like Chinta All these were findings of study

which indicate Santarpanjanya and *Apathyanimmitaja* origin of disease. So this study revalidates the *Nidan Parivarjan* is mandatory for the results in the treatment, need of *Hetu Viparit Chikitsa*. Both Group A (Medoghnarasayana Vati) and Group B (Shilajitvadi Vati) shown higly significant result in reduction of the subjective like parameters quantity of urine Prabhutmutrata. Daurbalya, *Mutramadhurya* symptoms (p<0.05). The Group A was found significant when compared with Group B.Both the Group had highly significant result in reduction in the *Mutramadhurya* (p<0.05) and in the comparison between both groups, Group A was not significant when compared with Group B.Both the Group had highly significant result in reduction in the Kshudha (p<0.05) and in the comparison between both groups, Group A was found significant when compared with Group B. In this study Both Groups A and B shown highly significant changes in objective parameters like BSL (p<0.05) and Post

Prandial Blood Sugar Levels. Group A is significant when compared with Group B for fasting blood sugar and not significant for post prandial blood sugar. It is observed that in this study that *Pathyakar Ahara*, Vihara with lifestyle modification and some Ayurvedic medication gives better result in reducing complications of type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. The present study was carried out on the small sample size for limited period and it showed encouraging results in patients of *Madhumeha*. So further study is needed with modification in groups.

## **CONCLUSION**

A scientific discussion on the study gives rise to some fruitful conclusions. As per the observation drawn from this study, we can conclude that, the *Medoghnarasayana vati* have shown better effect on the patients of Madhumeha by Samprapti Bhanga of disease, with significant reduction in the of Madhumeha like. symptoms Prabhutmutrata, Kshudha. *Mutramadhurya*, *Swedadhikya*, *Daurbalya*, Alasya, Nidradhikyata. It has also shown changes in biochemical parameter Fasting Blood Sugar Level, Post Prandial Blood Sugar Level, Urine Sugar level. The study

has shown fairly good changes in blood sugar levels and Urine Sugar levels throughout the follow up of 28 days but extended follow up is needed to lower down the dose of conventional *hypoglycaemic* agents and to prevent the complication of Diabetes mellitus type 2. *Madhumeha*, TYPE 2 Diabetes Mellitus is a life style disorder with metabolic crises, so role of life style management in addition with the *Ayurvedic chikitsa* can be studied to see the effect on reduction of *Madhumeha* & complication of DM type 2 and in reduction for control the side effects.

The details study of role of *Hetu viparita chikitsa* in management of *Madhumeha*. Further study need for newly diagnosed patient's i.e having very less chronicity that can be managed with the help of only Ayurvedic treatment. Use combine ayurvedic formulation to control *Madhumeha* 

Acknowledgement:- Nil Financial Assistant:- Nil Conflict of interest :- Nil

## REFERENCES

- 1) http://www.em.m/wikipedia.org>wiki>diabetes.
- Edited by Ravidatta Tripathi ,Charak Samhita. Chaukhamba publication. Re-print 2009 Sutrasthan, chapter 6 verse no. 57 page no.41.
- Edited by Bhisagacharya Harisastari Paradakara Vaidya ,Ashtang Hrudaya Samhita,.Chaukhamba Publication.Reprint 2005. Chapter 12 verse no. -34 page no.186.
- 4) Edited by Narayan Ram Acharya Sushrut Samhita, Chaukhamba publication.reprint
   2017, chapter 33verse no.4, page no.139.
- 5) Shri yadunan dano padhyaya, Madhava : Madhava Nidana Madhukosh VyakhyayahaVibhushitam-Ayurvedacharya -Chaokhamba publication part-2,P.15.
- 6) Vyakhyakar vishwanath dviveda shastri, Bhavaprakash Nighantu Haritakyadi varga Editor Murtilal Banarasi dara 9<sup>th</sup> edition1977.P.11.
- 7) Edited by vishagrat sri Brahmashankar shastri Yogratnakara vidyotini hindi tika samhita chaukhamba Sanskrit sansthan. P.162.
- 8) Edited by vishagrat sri Brahmashankar shastri Yogratnakara vidyotini hindi tika samhita, chaukhamba sanskrita sansthan Uttarardha. P.94.
- 9) K.R. Srikanthamuthy, Bhavaprakash of Bhavamishra volume2 (Madhya & Uttarakhanda) Chaukhamba publication. P.492.
- 10) Edited by John A.A.Hunter, Davidson's : Principles And Practice of Medicine, 19<sup>th</sup> edition, , P.642
- 11) K Sembulingam and Prema Sembulingam, Essentials of medical Physiology 6<sup>th</sup> edition Jaypee Publication, New Delhi, P.289.
- 12) Edited by Vaidya Yadavaji Trikamji Acharya Charaka Samhita Ayurveda Dipika Commentary of Cakrapanidatta; Chaukhamba Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi; 2009
- 13) Edited by Vaidya Yadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sushruta Samhita Nibandhasangraha
   Commentary of Dalhanacharya. Chaukhamba Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi; 2008
- 14) Arundatta Ashtangahridaya Samhita with Sarvangasundar and Ayurveda Rasayana by Hemadri (H.S.Paradkar), Chaukhamba Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi; 2010.