Association of SNPs in ESR1 and ESR2 Genes with Egg Production in Anand Synthetic White Leghorn and Anand Bantamised White Leghorn Chicken

Glory S. Parmar¹*, Devanshi V. Patel¹, Ankit V. Kachchhi¹, Ashish C. Patel¹, Atul B. Patel² Prakash G. Koringa³

Abstract

The objective of the present study was to find Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) in ESR1 and ESR2 genes and examine their association with egg production in chickens up to 64 weeks of age (EN64). Blood samples were taken from 48 Anand Synthetic White Leghorn (ASWLH) and 48 Anand Bantamised White Leghorn (ABWLH) chickens. Amplicon sequencing was carried out using the IlluminaMiseq platform, and a custom panel was developed to cover the exon regions of these genes. Total 91 SNPs, 59 previously reported and 32 novel SNPs were identified from 96 samples. In conclusion, the genetic variants g.52944897 T>C and rs3181386 inside the ESR2 gene exhibit potential as selection markers linked to EN64 in chickens.

Key words: Amplicon sequencing, Anand Bantamised White Leghorn, Anand Synthetic White Leghorn, ESR1, ESR2, Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms.

Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech (2024): 10.48165/ijvsbt.20.5.05

INTRODUCTION

he production of eggs is a significant economic characteristic within the poultry industry. Based on the findings of Kolluri et al. (2021), the chicken industry in India makes a major contribution of approximately USD 17.31 billion to the country's overall gross domestic value. Furthermore, this sector serves as a significant source of employment, providing livelihoods for approximately 50 million individuals. Egg production has steadily increased in contemporary commercial layers primarily because of phenotypic selection, which has contributed to their exceptional laying capacity. The persistence of genetic variability is signified by the ongoing rise in egg production. The genetic variability inherent in the genes involved in egg production pathways can be used to boost production even more. Several potential genes have been explored in poultry to increase reproductive features and reduce broody days and frequency (Vinh et al., 2021). Many current chicken breeds have been developed using traditional breeding methods (such as family selection supported by selfselection). Fortunately, recent advancements in molecular biology technologies have made it possible to expedite the breeding process for desired characteristics. Implementing such a methodology facilitates enhanced breeding for traits associated with egg production in poultry.

The genes for estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1) and estrogen receptor 2 (ESR2) are known to play a role in ovarian follicular development and ovulation in both chickens and mice (Gonzalez-Moran *et al.*, 2013; Gonzalez-Moran, 2014), which may influence characteristics of laying hen's egg

¹Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Kamdhenu University, Anand, Gujarat-388001, India

²Poultry Research Station, Veterinary and Animal Science Research & Extension Unit (VASREU), Kamdhenu University, Anand-388001, India

³Department of Veterinary Biotechnology, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Kamdhenu University, Anand, Gujarat-388001, India

Corresponding Author: Glory S. Parmar, Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Kamdhenu University, Anand, Gujarat-388001, India, e-mail: glorysimon1912@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Parmar, G. S., Patel, D. V., Kachchhi, A. V., Patel, A. C., Patel, A. B., & Koringa, P. G. (2024). Association of SNPs in ESR1 and ESR2 Genes with Egg Production in Anand Synthetic White Leghorn and Anand Bantamised White Leghorn Chicken. Ind J Vet Sci and Biotech. 20(5), 26.31.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

Submitted 14/05/ 2024 Accepted 14/06/2024 Published 10/09/2024

production. Estrogen is a crucial intrafollicular modulator that promotes the proliferation of granulosa cells and facilitates the differential effects of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) on these cells (Lu *et al.*, 2004; Moriarty *et al.*, 2006). The ESR1 gene, which is found on chromosome 3, comprises eight exons that encode a 589amino acid protein (ER alpha) (Lucinda *et al.*, 2004). A study provided evidence that genetic variations within the ESR1 gene, identified using SNP analysis, exhibited a significant

[©] The Author(s). 2024 Open Access This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International License.

correlation with laying characteristics in quails (Tremblay *et al.*, 1998).

The chicken ESR2 gene, on chromosome 5, has been cloned and is made up of eight exons that code for a 472amino acid protein (ER Beta). The ovarian granulosa cells mostly express ER β , which is needed for the formation of the antrum, the maturation of preovulatory follicles, and ovulation during follicle growth (Emmen *et al.*, 2005). However, ER α and ER β have different roles in folliculogenesis (Drummond and Fuller, 2012). Therefore, the results of this study suggest that sequence mutations in ESR1 and ESR2 could significantly impact the development of chicken ovary function and egg-laying performance. The present study was focused on association of SNPs in ESR1 and ESR2 genes with egg production in Anand Synthetic White Leghorn and Anand Bantamised White Leghorn Chicken developed at KU, Anand.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted on 96 chicken with approval of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of the institute. Four commercial strain crosses: the BV-300, the Shaver Star cross-280, the Hisex white, and the B.H.78 chicken were used to create the Anand Synthetic White Leghorn (ASWLH) line. The current experimental ASWLH birds were produced by selecting for egg number and egg weight at 40 and 56 weeks, respectively, for 12 generations, and then selecting for egg number and egg weight at 64 weeks of age. The Anand Bantamised White Leghorn (ABWLH) line was made by crossing Bantam chicken with two types of White Leghorn, IWP and IWN. It has 6.25 % Bantam DNA. All the designated birds were housed in individual cages within a three-tiered cage system using standard feeding, management, and health care procedures. For this study, the genetic variation was maximised by selecting the 24 birds with the maximum egg production and the 24 birds with the lowest egg production from the ASWLH and ABWLH chicken populations developed by the Poultry Research Station at Kamdhenu University, Anand.

Process of Acquiring and Extracting DNA Samples

A sterile 4 mL EDTA vacutainer was used to collect 2 mL of blood from the wing vein of 96 poultry chickens in an aseptic manner. From 200 µL blood sample, the DNA was separated and kept at -20°C. Genomic DNA concentration was determined using a NanoDropTM 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). The quality assessment of genomic DNA was conducted using 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis. In the process of library preparation, the concentration of DNA was determined with the help of the Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA). The DNA HS (High Sensitivity) kit was utilised in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Design of Individualised Amplicons and Preparation of an Amplicon-Seq Library

From the reference genome Gallus_gallus-4.0 (galGal4), the Illumina custom amplicon panel for exon regions of the ESR1 and ESR2 genes was designed using Illumina's Design Studio (San Diego, CA, USA). The library size distribution was assessed using the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies in Santa Clara, CA, United States). The Agilent DNA 1000 kit was utilized for this purpose. The Illumina Miseq platform was utilized for amplicon sequencing. The amplicons and primer sequences are provided in Table 1.

Data Analysis

The quality of the data in Fastq format was assessed after the sequencing run using FastQC v0.11.9 (https://www. bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). The data were filtered using PRINSEQ stand-alone lite v0.20.4, which has a minimal quality mean of 35 (source: https:// sourceforge.net/projects/prinseq/files/). Using Burrows Wheeler Alignment (BWA v0.7.17) (https://sourceforge.net/ projects/bio-bwa/files/), filtered Fastg files were utilised to map against candidate genes derived from Galgal4. Picard tool (v2.25.6) (https://broadinstitute.github.io/ picard/) was utilised to generate alignment metrics for reads contained in BAM files. SAMTools (v1.12) were used for variant calling (https://www.htslib.org/doc/samtools. html). Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV v2.10.0) was used to view the mapping characteristics and variations of each sample (https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/ igvtools). SnpEff (https://snpeff.sourceforge.net/ SnpEff. html) and SnpSift(v5.0e) (https://snpeff.sourceforge.net/ SnpSift.html) were used to annotate and filter all discovered variants. The UCSC genome browser (https://genome-asia. ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway) was utilised to validate the annotation of each SNP position. A correlation analysis was conducted between the identified variants and EN64 utilising PLINK (v1.07) (https://zzz.bwh.harvard.edu/plink/). We used permutation within the cluster to find the significance level. This had good qualities, like letting go of assumptions about the normality of continuous phenotypes and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, which helped us deal with rare alleles and small sample numbers.

RESULTS AND **D**ISCUSSION

The present study examined the comparative performances of two chicken populations, namely ASWLH and ABWLH. The populations consist of 24 birds each, with the highest egg producing birds and the lowest egg producing birds being included for analysis (Table 2). The custom amplicon panel contained a total of 43 amplicons with a maximum amplicon length of 375 bp and two primer pools; however, for bigger exons, several amplicons were required to cover the whole exon.

Raw data was obtained from sequencing runs on the IlluminaMiseq platform, with 96% of clusters passing filter and 90% of raw data having a Q-Score of 30 (*i.e.*, at least 99.9% accuracy in base calling). All the reads obtained in this study showed a minimum alignment of 99% with the reference genome.

Variant Calling

After filtering variants based on a minimum read depth of 20 and QUAL score of 30 (each individual base has been sequenced at least 20 times), 91 SNPs were discovered. Out of the 91 SNPs, 59 were previously reported in the dbSNPbuild145 database and 32 were novel. The SNPs are described in detail in Table 3.

Transition and transversion both can lead to change in amino acid sequence which ultimately leads to change in protein. However, transversions have a more significant impact on regulatory DNA as emphasized in the study conducted by Guo *et al.* (2017). In the present study, transitions accounted for approximately 70.9% of all the identified SNPs, with transversions making up the remaining 29.1%. The most frequent substitutions in the event of transitions were T-to-C (22%), A-to-G (19%), followed by C-to-T (16%) and G-to-A (12.9%). The present finding is fully in agreement with the findings reported by Kachchhi *et al.* (2024^b), who also noted that transitions were more common than transversions and that G-to-A and C-to-T substitutions were the most common types of transitions.

Association of Identified SNPs with Egg Production

Two SNPs located in the exons of the ESR2 gene were found to have a significant association with EN64 in the entire experimental population, with a p-value less than 0.05. Nonetheless, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located on the ESR1 gene did not demonstrate a statistically significant correlation (p<0.05) with EN64, whereas those within the ESR2 genes did exhibit such association. The details about SNPs that exhibit a significant association with EN64 are shown in Table 4.

Missense variants are relatively more concerning because they alter the amino acid sequence of the translated protein, which may lead to a change in phenotype, specifically egg production (Kachchhi *et al.*, 2024^a). In the present study, 52 SNPs were discovered in ESR2 gene, out of which 11 SNPs were found to be novel. The g.52944897 T>C variant and rs3181386 variant of the ESR2 gene are associated with an Intronic region as determined by annotation. In a prior work, researchers investigated the relationship between ESR2 genotypes and egg-laying characteristics in Leizhou black ducks. Researchers discovered a strong correlation (p<0.05) between egg weight and the SNP g.56805646 T>C. In the present study, 39 SNPs were discovered in ESR2 gene, out of

| Table 1: Details of am | plicon targets and primer s | equences used to ampli | v target regions |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | | | |

| Ch. | Exon No | Start Coordinate | End Coordinate | Primer Sequence |
|--|----------|------------------|--|---|
| Exon 1 Exon 1 Exon 1 Exon 2 Exon 3 Exon 4 | Exon 1 | 48327426 | 48327749 | F: CTCAGCAGAAATCATGTTAAAGCAG R: GCTGAAATCAGACCAGGAAGACTAG |
| | Exon 1 | 48327738 | 48328053 | F: ACTGGAGCGGTAGTACCAAAATCGT R: TATTCCTCTGATCTCGGCAAGCAGG |
| | Exon 1 | 48328042 | 48328359 | F: TGAAAGTGTAGGGCAGACATATTTC R: TCTGGGTAGTTAAAAACTCCTGTCT |
| | Exon 2 | 48354999 | 48355311 | F: CCTGGGCTCAGGCTGTAATCTCAAG R: TCCTAAGAGCTAAGAAAGAAGAAGAGCA |
| | Exon 3 | 48367725 | 48368042 | F: CAGCTCAGAAAATAAAAATTCCTGC R: CTAACATCGCAGGCAAAGGGTAGTT |
| | 48385293 | 48385580 | F: AGAGCCGGACTGTTCTTCTTGTTAT R: TCTTACATGAGTAGTTGGAGAGGAA | |
| 3 | Exon 4 | 48385569 | 48385890 | F: ATGCTTTCTATTCCCAAAACTGTAT R: AGTGGACTTGTCCAAAGGGTTGGAG |
| | Exon 5 | 48410289 | 48410559 | F: CATCAAATTGTAGCATCAATGAGAT R: ACAACAGCATCCAGAGAACCAGATA |
| | Exon 6 | 48424191 | 48424494 | F: GAAATGGAAACACTACCAGGGACAA R: ACGATAGAGCACAAGCTAGCATGTG |
| | Exon 7 | 48410289 | 48410559 | F: ACAACACACACACAGTGAAGGAA R: GCCTTATAGTGGCCTCTTTGGCTGT |
| | Exon 8 | 48430928 | 48431255 | F: GGACTGCAGGGAATGAGATGAAGCT R: AATTCAGGATGGCCATGAATGATTG |
| | Exon 8 | 48431244 | 48431491 | F: GAAACGTCTTTCACTTACCTAGAAT R: CAGTTGGTTTCGGTTCTCCTCTTCC |



| | Exon_1 | 52910473 | 52910795 | F: GAGTTTACTGCTCATAAATCCAGCA R: ACAGAAGTTCCTCCTCCTCCTTTCT |
|---|--------|----------|----------|---|
| | Exon_2 | 52920734 | 52921018 | F: CAACTGAGAAAAGTCCTTGTGAGAA R: AGACAACACTTGTTGAGTTCAAACA |
| | Exon_2 | 52921007 | 52921220 | F: TGTTGTAATTCAGCATAGCAGGACT R: GGACATTTTGATTCAAGACTGAAGG |
| | Exon_2 | 52921209 | 52921522 | F: TCAGATGCGCAGAAATAAAATGTTT R: TTGCAGAATATTCATGCCTGTTTTC |
| | Exon_3 | 52928043 | 52928372 | F: AATCAACCCACTACCAGAGCAATTG R: TCACTGGAGATGTGAACAAGCAGCT |
| | Exon_4 | 52930723 | 52931004 | F: TTGTTGTGCCTTTTTAGAATTCTGG R: CAACTCATCTACTTATCTAAGC |
| | Exon_5 | 52940112 | 52940393 | F: CCCATGCAATCTTCTGAATTACGAT R: GGAAAATCCCACTGACATCAAAAAG |
| | Exon_5 | 52940382 | 52940692 | F: TCACACTGCACATTTACAGTGCTAT R: ATTCGATACCCACAGCGTTCTCTTC |
| | Exon_6 | 52944802 | 52945062 | F: TTAAGACTTCCATCCAGCAGCTTTC R: TGAAATGATAGAACCACAGAATCCT |
| 5 | Exon_6 | 52945051 | 52945316 | F: TCAACAGCACTATTTACACTCAGCA R: CTTGGTCATAGAGGCTGAGATCAAT |
| | Exon_8 | 52948944 | 52949273 | F: CCCTCAGGGCCACTATGGAGTTCTA R: TTCCTGACACATTCACACACCCCAA |
| | Exon_9 | 52950273 | 52950597 | F: CAGCCCTGTATTTCTGTTCAGACCT R: TCTACCATCTAGAGAACCAAGATTT |
| | Exon_9 | 52950586 | 52950856 | F: CTTGCAGGACTGTTCTGAGGCTTAA R: ACTTGTTCAAACTCAGGATGTGTGA |
| | Exon_9 | 52950845 | 52951163 | F: CAGCCACAGCCATTGCATATTTAAG R: GCTTTTAAGAGTCTCACAGAAGGCA |
| | Exon_9 | 52951152 | 52951390 | F: ACATCTTCATCTCACAGACAGAACA R: CTCCTTTACACTGTGCACACCACCT |
| | Exon_9 | 52951379 | 52951691 | F: GGATCCCGCACAAAATATGGAACAG R: TCAGCACTGACTGAAAGAAGGGGGGC |
| | Exon_9 | 52951680 | 52951888 | F: CAATATTGGCAGCGACTGGAACAAA R: AATCGCTTGGAGAACGAACAAGCAG |
| | Exon_9 | 52951877 | 52952187 | F: GTACAAGATCAAAAACAGGACAAGA R: TGCTCTTTGCTGAGGTGGTCAGATG |
| | Exon_9 | 52952176 | 52952487 | F: TAGAAGTTTCTTTGAAAAACTGTAT R: CTCACTGACAATGCTTTATGCCCCT |

Table 2: Comparison of egg production performance in ASWLH and ABWLH populations with high and low egg-producing groups

| Statistics | ASWLH Low Production | ASWLH High Production | ABWLH Low Production | ABWLH High Production |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Observations | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| EN64 Range | 191-215 | 234-248 | 261-281 | 282-299 |
| Mean | 204.33±1.37 | 241.83±0.89 | 269.13±1.58 | 289.35±0.89 |
| Variance | 44.75 | 60.28 | 18.92 | 18.94 |
| P (T<=t) one-tail | 1.86E-32 | | 1.29E-36 | |

which 21 SNPs were found to be novel. No SNPs were found to be associated with EN64. One of the previous studies revealed a correlation between the alteration of ESR1 expression and the corresponding pattern observed in egg production among laying hens (Ni *et al.*, 2007^{a,b}). In addition, the study of goose has revealed that ESR1 has a significant impact on egg

production. The results showed that the expression of ESR1 in goose ovary increased significantly (p<0.05) after entering the laying period (Kang *et al.*, 2009). In a similar study, three SNPs (rs314996211, rs313100310, and g.17308550T>C) in the GnRHR gene's exon region were found to be strongly linked with egg production at 64 weeks of age (Pal *et al.*, 2023).

| Gene | Region | Reference SNP Identifier | Gene | Region | Reference SNP Identifie |
|------|--------|---------------------------------|------|--------|--------------------------------|
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs312335415 | ESR2 | Exon_2 | rs731988160 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs741031787 | ESR2 | Exon_2 | rs316925667 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | g.48385309A>G | ESR2 | Exon_3 | rs317377771 |
| ESR1 | Exon 2 | g.48385317A>G | ESR2 | Exon_4 | rs313766889 |
| ESR1 | Exon 3 | g.48385464G>A | ESR2 | Exon_5 | rs316142043 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | g.48385480A>C | ESR2 | Exon_5 | rs737627884 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs737908350 | ESR2 | Exon_6 | rs314238777 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | g.48385818C>T | ESR2 | Exon_1 | rs314204640 |
| ESR1 | Exon 2 | rs16273463 | ESR2 | Exon_2 | rs312486878 |
| ESR1 | Exon 3 | rs315693030 | ESR2 | Exon_2 | rs15734466 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs316489702 | ESR2 | Exon_2 | rs13592397 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs316715942 | ESR2 | Exon_3 | rs15734470 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs733536058 | ESR2 | Exon_1 | rs318138666 |
| ESR1 | Exon 2 | g.48355039T>C | ESR2 | Exon_2 | rs312753241 |
| ESR1 | Exon 3 | g.48355069G>T | ESR2 | Exon_2 | g.52944882A>T |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | g.48385465C>T | ESR2 | Exon_2 | g.52944891T>G |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs731028834 | ESR2 | Exon_3 | g.52944897T>C |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | g.48385484A>G | ESR2 | Exon_6 | g.52944908T>C |
| ESR1 | Exon 2 | g.48385529T>C | ESR2 | Exon_5 | g.52944927T>C |
| ESR1 | Exon 3 | rs740591712 | ESR2 | Exon_5 | g.52944930T>C |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | g.48355039T>C | ESR2 | Exon_5 | g.52944935T>C |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs312335415 | ESR2 | Exon_6 | rs16513679 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | g.48355128A>G | ESR2 | Exon_6 | rs14550608 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | rs741031787 | ESR2 | Exon_8 | rs16513690 |
| ESR1 | Exon 1 | g.48385465C>T | ESR2 | Exon_8 | rs14550609 |
| ESR1 | Exon 4 | g.48385478A>C | ESR2 | Exon_8 | rs315367925 |
| ESR1 | Exon 4 | g.48385480A>C | ESR2 | Exon_8 | rs317835591 |
| ESR1 | Exon 5 | rs737908350 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs312517223 |
| ESR1 | Exon 6 | rs16273463 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs313006366 |
| ESR1 | Exon 7 | rs315693030 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs315271297 |
| ESR1 | Exon 8 | rs316489702 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs312998151 |
| ESR1 | Exon 8 | rs316715942 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs314120558 |
| ESR1 | Exon 4 | rs733536058 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs312497585 |
| ESR1 | Exon 4 | rs741278680 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs14550611 |
| ESR1 | Exon 5 | g.48385309A>G | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs313119515 |
| ESR1 | Exon 6 | g.48385317A>G | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs313923225 |
| ESR1 | Exon 7 | g.48385460A>G | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs316796029 |
| ESR1 | Exon 8 | g.48385466G>A | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs16513694 |
| ESR1 | Exon 8 | g.48385497A>C | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs16513695 |
| ESR1 | Exon 4 | g.48385500A>C | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs16513696 |
| ESR2 | Exon_2 | g.52921427T>C | ESR2 | Exon_2 | rs731988160 |
| ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs312747231 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs16513697 |
| ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs316958312 | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs312484187 |
| ESR2 | Exon_2 | g.52920942G>A | ESR2 | Exon_9 | rs314548180 |
| ESR2 | Exon_9 | g.52951740T>C | ESR2 | Exon_6 | rs14550578 |
| ESR2 | Exon_2 | g.52921295G>A | | | |

Table 3: Information about the observed SNPs

Table 4: Details of Novel SNPs that are significantly linked to EN64

| Gene | Region | SNP | p value |
|-----------------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| ESR2 (ABWLH_High/Low) | Exon_6 | g.52944897T>C | 0.00235 |
| ESR2 (ASWLH_High/Low) | Exon_5 | rs3181386 | 0.04057 |



CONCLUSIONS

The present study utilized amplicon sequencing to discover polymorphisms in the ESR1 and ESR2 genes, and examined their potential association with egg production in two specific layer lines, namely ASWLH and ABWLH. Two SNPs, specifically g.52944897T>C and rs3181386 of the ESR2 gene, were found to be significantly associated with egg production at 64 weeks of age (EN64). Based on present finding, we conclude that these two SNPs can be employed in investigations involving marker-assisted selection for the selection of birds for egg production. However, because our sample size was small, further research into the association of these SNPs with egg production in a large phenotype chicken population is required.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are thankful to the Poultry Research Station, Kamdhenu University, Anand for providing the experimental birds.

REFERENCES

- Drummond, A.E., & Fuller, P.J. (2012). Ovarian actions of estrogen receptor-β: An update. In: Seminars in Reproductive Medicine, 30(1), 32-38. Thieme Medical Publishers.
- Emmen, J.M., Couse, J.F., Elmore, S.A., Yates, M.M., Kissling, G.E., & Korach, K.S. (2005). In vitro growth and ovulation of follicles from ovaries of estrogen receptor (ER) a and ERB null mice indicate a role for ER β in follicular maturation. *Endocrinology*, 146(6), 2817-2826.
- Gonzalez-Moran, M.G. (2014). Changes in the cellular localization of estrogen receptor alpha in the growing and regressing ovaries of Gallus domesticus during development. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 447(1), 197-204.
- Gonzalez-Moran, M.G., González-Arenas, A., Germán-Castelán, L., & Camacho-Arroyo, I. (2013). Changes in the content of sex steroid hormone receptors in the growing and regressing ovaries of Gallus domesticus during development. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 189, 51-58.
- Guo, C., McDowell, I.C., Nodzenski, M., Scholtens, D.M., Allen, A.S., Lowe, W.L., & Reddy, T.E. (2017). Transversions have larger regulatory effects than transitions. BMC Genomics, 18, 1-9.
- Kachchhi, A.V., Parmar, G.S., Patel, A.C., Patel, D.V., Koringa, P.G., & Savaliya, F.P. (2024^a). SNPs detection in PRLHR, GHSR and SALL3 genes and their association analysis with egg production in

chicken. International Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, 9(2), 210-215.

- Kachchhi, A.V., Patel, A.C., Parmar, G.S., Patel, D.V., Koringa, P.G., & Savaliya, F.P. (2024^b). Screening of SNPs associated with egg production in two strains of White Leghorn. Indian Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Biotechnology, 20(3), 158-162.
- Kang, B., Guo, J.R., Yang, H.M., Zhou, R.J., Liu, J.X., Li, S.Z., & Dong, C.Y. (2009). Differential expression profiling of ovarian genes in prelaying and laying geese. Poultry Science, 88(9), 1975-1983.
- Kolluri, G., Tyagi, J.S., & Sasidhar, P.V.K. (2021). Research note: Indian poultry industry vis-a-vis coronavirus disease 2019: A situation analysis report. Poultry Science, 100(3), 100-108.
- Lu, Q., Pallas, D.C., Surks, H.K., Baur, W.E., Mendelsohn, M.E., & Karas, R.H. (2004). Striatin assembles a membrane signaling complex necessary for rapid, nongenomic activation of endothelial NO synthase by estrogen receptor a. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(49), 17126-17131.
- Lucinda, Fulton A., Mardis Elaine, R., & Wilson Richard, K. (2004). Sequence and comparative analysis of the chicken genome provide unique perspectives on vertebrate evolution. Nature, 432(7018), 695-716.
- Moriarty, K., Kim, K.H., & Bender, J.R. (2006). Estrogen receptormediated rapid signaling. Endocrinology, 147(12), 5557-5563.
- Ni, Y., Zhou, Y., Lu, L., Grossmann, R., & Zhao, R. (2007^a). Developmental changes of FSH-R, LH-R, ER-β and GnRH-I expression in the ovary of prepubertal ducks (Anas platyrhynchos). Animal Reproduction Science, 100(3), 318-328.
- Ni, Y., Zhu, Q., Zhou, Z., Grossmann, R., Chen, J., & Zhao, R. (2007^b). Effect of dietary daidzein on egg production, shell quality, and gene expression of ERa, GH-R, and IGF-IR in shell glands of laying hens. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry, 55(17), 6997-7001.
- Pal, S., Patel, A.C., Hinsu, A.T., Panchal, K. J., Koringa, P.G., & Rank, D.N. (2023). The identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms in GnRH-I, GnRH-II/MRPS26, GnRHR exons and their association with egg production in Anand Synthetic White Leghorn and Anand Bantamised White Leghorn chicken. Indian Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Biotechnology, 19(2), 94-98.
- Tremblay, G.B., Tremblay, A., Labrie, F., & Giguère, V. (1998). Ligandindependent activation of the estrogen receptors α and β by mutations of a conserved tyrosine can be abolished by antiestrogens. Cancer Research, 58(5), 877-881.
- Vinh, N.T., Giang, N.T.P., Linh, N.V., Dang, P.K., Cahn, N.X., Giang, N.T.C., ... & Thinh, N.H. (2021). Single nucleotide polymorphisms of candidate genes related to egg production traits in Vietnamese indigenous chickens. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science, 23, eRBCA-2020.