Line x tester analysis for combining ability in okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench.]

K.D.Ameta, A. K. Shukla, I.B. Maurya and L. N. Mahawer Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur 313203

Abstract

Combining ability effects were estimated for different characters in a line x tester crossing programme comprising 45 hybrids produced by crossing of 15 lines and 3 testers. Parents and hybrids differed significantly for gca and sca effects. respectively. High average degree of dominance revealed predominance of non-addiive gene effects for all the traits. The parents Swati-10, Heritage green, Punjab Padmini, Ankur-40, VRO-6 and Arka Anamika were good general combiner for majority of characters in various environments. Therefore, these lines can be used for hybridization for producing promising recombinants. This indicates that parent showing high gca for fruit yield per plant might be due to high gca for fruit weight. fruit length, fruit girth and number of fruits per plant. High sca effects were reported for cross combinations, BO-3 x Arka Anamika in E1, Swati-10 x Parbhani Kranti and heritage Green x Arka Abhay in E2 and BO-3 x Parbhani Kranti in E3 environment

Key words: Combing stability, Line, Tester, Gca effects, Sca effects, Gene effects, Non-additive, Dominance, parents and

Introduction

Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench], commonly known as bhindi has a prominent position among vegetable due to its wide adaptability, year round cultivation, export potential and high nutritive value. In any sound breeding programme, the proper choice of parents based on their combining ability is a prerequisite. As such studies intended to determine the combining ability not only for necessary information regarding the choice of parents but also the simultaneous nature and magnitude of desirable traits. Accordingly the present investigation was undertaken to have an idea of the nature of the gene action for green fruit yield and other important attributes in okra. Line x tester analysis is a useful tool for preliminary evaluation of genetic stock for use as combiners, which may be used to build up a population with favourable fixable and genes for effective yield improvement.

Materials and methods

The experimental material comprised of 15 female parents (lines) viz. Heritage green, Go-2, Bo-2, Punjab Padmini, Swati-10, Swati-25, Ankur-40, Pusa Sawni, VRO-5. VRO-6, Varsha Uphar, and 3 Pollen parents (testers) viz. Parbhani kranti, Arka abhay and Arka anamika were selected on the basis of per se performance, adoption and geographical diversity. They were crossed in the line x tester design thus 45 hybrids were produced. The 45 F,s and their parents were grown in randomized block design with three replications at Horticulture Farm, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, (24°-35'N and 73°-42'E) at an elevation of 582.17above mean sea level. The climate of Udaipur is semi-arid sub-tropical, characterized by hot summers and cold winters. The hottest months are April, May and June (Maximum temperature 43-44° C), whereas during December and January, the minimum temperature often goes below 5 °C. The average annual rainfall is 750 mm, 70-80 % of which is received through the north-west monsoon during July-September. The soil was sandy loam in texture consisting 62.3 % sand, 21.6 % silt and 16.5 % clay having soil pH 7.8, electrical conductivity 0.46 dS/m, organic carbon 0.54 %, available N 73 mg/kg, Olsen P 14.3 mg/kg and available K 70.2 mg/kg of soil. The experiment was conducted in three environments viz., summer 2005 (E1), rainy 2005 (E3) and summer 2006 (E3). The seeds were sown in single row of 3.6 m length keeping 45 cm distance between row and 30 cm within row. The observations were recorded for yield and yield contributing traits viz. plant height (cm), number of branches per plant, height of first effective fruiting node (cm), days to 50 per cent flowering. number of fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm). days to harvest, fruit weight (g) and yield per plant (g) Combining ability analysis was computed according to the model given by Kempthrone (1957).

Results and discussion

The analysis of variance revealed highly significant difference among all the traits which indicated the presence of considerable amount of genetic variability (Table 1). The mean square due to crosses, lines and testers Table 1. Summation of combining ability in various environments

Source	Plant height	Number of branches Per plant	Height of first effective fruiting node	Days to 50% flowering	Number of fruits per plant	Fruit Iongth	Fruit girth	Days to harvesting	Fruit weight	Yield per plant
E-I Enviror	ment									
Σ^2 GCA T	24.168**	-0.005	0.15631	-0.07713	4.115**	0.15479*	0.037526**	-0.03922	0.19582**	1411.6**
Σ² GCA L	1097.1**	0.65395**	4.2829	23.463 **	28.442**	3.8868**	0.39772**	21.832**	18.469**	14049**
Σ^2 SCA	2985.3**	5.4193**	99.878**	111.55**	200.76**	32.276**	2.0932**	116.38**	90.398**	79631**
E-2 Enviror	ment				200.70	52.270	2.0752	110.50	70.270	
Σ^2 GCA T	32.766 **	0.061853**	0.10106	0.1019	0.42326*	0.020297	0.02916**	0.16089**	3.0436**	-60.93
Σ2 GCA L	886.55**	1.4979**	8.0278*	70.209*	16.064**	2.8888**	0.42754**	70.797**	31.065**	5841.6**
Σ ² SCA	1751.1*	10.966 **	74.471**	258.22**	87.393 **	26.417**	1.6944**	272.59**	157.75**	33306**
E-3 Environ	nment			250.22	0,.575	20.417	1.0744	2,2.5,		
Σ^2 GCA T	32.738**	0.093974 **	0.5839**	0.22308*	1.9553**	0.03022	0.038759**	0.12262	0.77404**	583.9**
Σ^2 GCA L	939.01 **	1.1056**	9.294**	11.047**	17.656**	3.7167**	0.4354**	10.983 **	7.6846**	9325**
Σ^2 SCA	2348.7**	10.69**	88.699**	55.557**	120.64**	31.122**	2.0524**	60.909**	53.7**	50453**

Table 2. Best parents identified on the basis of per se performance and GCA effects for various traits in different environment in okra

	environment in okra							
S.	Character /		Per Se	GCA	Common			
No.								
1.	Plant Height	E,	L_1, L_{13}, L_9, L_4	L4, L11, L13, L9, L1	All			
	_	E_2	L ₁₄ , L ₁ , T ₁ , L ₄ , T ₂	L_4 , L_{11} , L_1 , L_{13} , L_9	L_1, L_4			
		E3	$L_1, L_{13}, T_1, L_{11}, L_{9}$	L4, L11, L9, L1, L13	L1, L11, L13, L9, L4			
2.	Numberof	E_1	$L_{14}, L_{9}, L_{13}, L_{7}, T_{3}$	$L_6, L_7, L_1, L_8, L_{11}$	L,			
	branches	E_2	L_9 , L_{14} , L_{13} , L_4 , T_3	$L_9, L_6, T_3, L_{13}, L_1$	L_9, T_3			
	per plant .	E_3	$L_4, L_{14}, L_{13}, T_1, T_3$	$L_6, L_9, L_{13}, T_3, T_{11}$	L_{13} , T_{3}			
3.	Height of	E_1	$L_4, L_{15}, L_1, L_{11}, L_5, L_6$	L4, L7, L12, L1, L10	L_4, L_1			
	first	E_2	L_8, L_5, L_3, L_2, L_1	L_{15} , L_{4} , L_{5} , L_{1} , T_{3}	L_5, L_1			
	effective	E_3	L_2 , L_5 , L_{12} , L_8 , T_2	L_1, L_6, L_2, L_7, T_2	L_2 , T_2			
	fruiting node							
4.	Days to 50%	E_1	L_{11} , T_3 , T_2 , L_{12} , L_8	Lo, Lo, L11, L1, L7	Lii			
	flowering	E_2	$L_4, L_{11}, L_{13}, T_3, L_6, L_8$	L_{11} , L_{13} , L_{1} , L_{9} , T_{1}	L11, L13			
		E_3	L4, L5, L11, T1, L9	L13, L9, L11, T1, L4	L_4, L_{11}, T_1			
5.	Number of	E_{i}	T_2 , L_9 , L_{15} , L_4 , L_8 ,	L_{11} , L_{4} , L_{13} , L_{1} , T_{3}	L ₄			
	fruits per	E_2	L11, T3, L4, L9, L3	L_{11} , L_4 , L_9 , L_{13} , T_3	L_{11}, L_4, L_9, T_3			
	plant	E,	L_1, L_3, T_2, T_3, L_9	$L_{13}, L_{11}, L_{9}, L_{1}, T_{3}$	L_1, L_9, T_3			
6.	Fruit Length	E_1	$L_1, L_6, L_{15}, L_1, L_{11}, L_6, L_1, L_{11}, L_{15}, L_{12}$	$L_1, L_6, L_8, L_{10}, L_4$	L ₆			
		E_2	L_6, L_1, L_{15}, L_{12}	$L_6, L_4, L_{12}, L_{10}, L_1$	L_6, L_{12}			
		E_3	$L_1, L_6, L_{15}, L_{11}, L_{12}$	L_4 , L_1 , L_{12} , L_6 , L_{10}	L_1, L_6, L_{12}			
7.	Fruit girth	E_{I}	T_2 , L_3 , L_{14} , L_{15} , L_{10} ,	L_3 , L_{14} , T_2 , L_{15} , L_{10}	$L_3, L_{14}, L_{15}, L_{10}, T_2$			
		E_2	T_2 , L_3 , L_{14} , L_{15} , L_{10} , T_2 , L_3 ,	$L_{14}, L_{3}, L_{15}, L_{8}, T_{2}$	L_3 , L_{14} , L_{15} , T_2			
		E_3	L_{14}, L_{15}, L_{10}	$L_{14}, L_{15}, L_{15}, T_2, L_8$	L_3, L_{14}, L_{15}, T_2			
8.	Days to	E_1	$L_{11}, T_3, L_{12}, T_2, L_3, L_8$	Lo, Lo, L7, L11, L1	Lii			
	harvesting	E_2	$L_4, L_{11}, L_{13}, T_3, L_8$	L_{11} , L_{12} , L_{1} , L_{9} , T_{1}	L_{11}, L_{13}			
1		E_3	L_4 , L_5 , T_1 , L_{11} , L_9	L13, L9, L15, L1, L11	L11, L0			
9.	Fruit weigh	E_1	$L_2, L_{11}, T_3, L_9, L_4$	L9, L11, L1, L4, L13	L ₁₁ , L ₄			
		E_2	L2, L4, T3, L6, L9	L_{11} , L_{9} , L_{4} , L_{4} , L_{1} , L_{3}	L ₄ , T ₃			
		E ₃	L_4, L_7, L_9, L_2, T_3	L_9 , L_{13} , L_4 , L_1 , T_3	L ₄ , L ₉ , T ₃			
10.	Yield per	Εı	L9, L4, L2, L11, T3	L11, L13, L9, L1, L4	L ₁₁ , L ₄			
	plant	E_2	$L_9, T_3, L_4, L_{13}, L_{11}$	L4, L11, L9, L1, L3	L_{11} , L_4 , L_9			
		E_3	$T_1, T_2, T_3, L_4, L_{12}$	L12, L14, L1, L7, L4	L ₄			

K. D. Ameta, A. K. Shukla, I.B. Maurya and L. N. Mahawer, Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture, 2009 4(1): 16-19

Table 3. Best bybrids identified on the basis of perse performance and SCA effects for various traits in different

Tab	le 3. Best hybrids is environments	dentific	ed on the basis of perse performan	SCA	Co
S. N	o. Character	III OKIA	Per Se	- T 1 v T 1 v T 1	Common
1.		E	T 1 v T	v. T. Lu XT3	L ₁₁ x T ₃ , L ₁ x T ₃
			x T ₂ , L ₁ x T ₂	L4 x T3, L9 x T2, L5 x T1, L4	Lax Ts, Lox Ta
		E ₂	L ₁₁ x T ₂ , L ₄ x T ₃ , L ₄ x T ₂ , L ₁	v T. La X I i	Y CA X L
			v T. L. v T.	Lax T1, L9 X 13, L15 X 12,	L9X T3, L1 XT3
		Ε,	L11 x T3, L4 x T3, L9 x T3, L11	7 V T - L 1 X 3	
-		_	xT ₂ , L ₁ xT ₃	L, x T ₃ , L ₉ x T ₂ ,L ₁₀ x T ₃ , L ₅	Lax To XT
2.	Number of	E,	L, x T, L, x T, L6 x T2, L7	"T. Lu XII	Ls xT2
	branches		$x T_2, L_5 x T_2$ $L_9 x T_1, L_9 x T_3, L_6 x T_2, L_{13}$	Lio X T3, L11 X 12, L5 X 12,	LuxT, LexT,
	per plant	E ₂	x T ₃ , L ₁₁ x T ₂	L9 x T1, L6 x T2	
		Ε,		$L_{15} \times T_2$, $L_5 \times T_2$, $L_7 \times T_1$, L_1	L15 X T2, L1 X T1
		٠,	x T ₁ , L ₂ x T ₂	$X T_1, L_{13} \times T_3$ $L_8 \times T_2, L_3 \times T_3, L_{14} \times T_3,$	LaxT, L, xT,
3.	Height of first	E,	L ₈ x T ₂ , L ₇ x T ₁ , L ₄ x T ₂ , L ₃	$L_1 \times T_1$, $L_7 \times T_1$	L ₃ x T ₃ , L ₁₃ x T ₁
	effective		$x T_1, L_{13} x T_1$	L ₁₁ x T ₂ , L ₄ x T ₃ ,L ₈ x T ₂ , L ₆	L ₁₁ x T ₂ , L ₆ x T ₁
	fruiting node	E_2	L4 X T1, L11 X T2, L15 X T2, L3	$x T_3, L_1 \times T_1$	
			$\times T_3, L_6 \times T_3$	L ₁₂ x .T ₁ , L ₃ x T ₃ ,L ₉ x T ₁ , L ₇	L7 X T3, L9 X T1,
		E3	L7 x T3, L1 x T2, L9 x T1, L12	x T ₃ , L ₃ x T ₂	L ₁₂ X I ₁
		_	$x T_1, L_0 x T_2$	$L_{11} \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_1$, $L_{15} \times T_3$,	LII x T3, Li3 x T1
4.	Days to 50%	E_1	L11 x T3, L9 x T1, L13 x T1, L9	L., x T 2, L ₁₀ x T ₁	
	flo wering	-	x T ₂ , L ₁ x T ₂	L1 X T1, L6 X T3, L14 X T1, L4	Nil
		E_2	L ₁ x T ₁ , L ₁₁ x T ₃ , L ₁₁ x T ₁ , L ₉	$x T_1, L_1 x T_3$	
		Г.	$X T_2, L_1 X T_2$ $L_9 X T_2, L_{13} X T_3, L_{15} X T_3,$	L ₁₅ x T ₃ , L ₁₁ x T ₃ , L ₂ x T ₃ ,	L13 X T3, L13 X T1
		E3	L ₁₃ x T ₁ , L ₁₃ x T ₃	$L_{13} \times T_3$, $L_8 \times T_1$	$L_{13} \times T_3$
5.	Number of	Eı	L4 x T3, L11 x T3, L1 x T3, L9	L2 x T1, L8 x T1, L7 x T3, L14	Nil
٥.	fruits per	L	x T ₃ , L ₁₃ x T ₃	x T ₃ , L ₅ x T ₂	
	plant	E_2	L11 x T3, L11 x T2, L4 x T3, L1	L2 x T1, L12 x T2, L5 x T2,	$L_1 \times T_3$
	p		xT ₂	$L_1 \times T_3$, $L_6 \times T_1$	1 T
		E ₃	$L_9 \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_3$, $L_1 \times T_3$, L_{11}	$L_2 \times T_1$, $L_3 \times T_1$, $L_7 \times T_3$, L_{14}	$L_{11} \times T_2$, $L_{14} \times T_3$
		-	$\times T_2$, $L_{14} \times T_3$	x T ₃ , L ₁₁ x T ₂	LaTi
6.	Fruit Length	E_1	$L_3 \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_2$, $L_{13} \times T_3$,	$L_{13} \times T_2$, $L_9 \times T_3$, $L_{14} \times T_1$,	$L_3 \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_2$
			$L_{10} \times T_1$, $L_{9} \times T_3$	L ₁₁ x T ₃ , L ₃ x T ₃	I v T I v T
		E_2	$L_3 \times T_3$, $L_9 \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_2$, L_3	$L_9 \times T_3$, $L_{11} \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_{32}$,	$L_9 \times T_3, L_{13} \times T_2,$ $L_{14} \times T_1$
			x T2, L14 x T1	$L_{14} \times T_1$, $L_7 \times T_1$ $L_9 \times T_3$, $L_{11} \times T_3$, $L_{14} \times T_1$,	$L_{11} \times T_3$, $L_9 \times T_3$
		E_3	$L_3 \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_2$, $L_{11} \times T_3$, L_9	$L_7 \times T_1, L_{13} \times T_2$	D[[x 1], Ly x 1]
_		-	x T ₃ , L ₃ x T ₂	$L_6 \times T_2$, $L_7 \times T_2$, $L_8 \times T_1$, L_1	L ₆ x T ₂
7.	Fruit girth	E,	L ₆ x T ₂ , L ₃ x T ₂ ,L ₁₄ x T ₂ , L ₃	x T ₂ , L ₃ x T ₂	D4 x 11
		e	$X T_1, L_{14} \times T_1$ $L_3 \times T_2, L_{15} \times T_2, L_{14} \times T_2, L_{14}$	L ₁ x T ₂ , L ₁₄ x T ₁ ,L ₁₃ x T ₃ ,	L ₁₄ x T ₁
		E_2		L ₁ x T ₁ , L ₂ x T ₃	-14
		C	$x T_1, L_4 x T_2 L_3 x T_2, L_{14} x T_2, L_{14} x T_1,$	L ₃ x T ₂ , L ₁₂ x T ₁ ,L ₁ x T ₂ , L ₇	L14 x T1, L5 x T2
		Е,	L ₁₅ x T ₂ , L ₅ x T ₂	x T ₂ , L ₁₄ x T ₁	-14 1, -3
	Davista	С	$L_{11} \times T_3$, $L_9 \times T_1$, $L_{13} \times T_1$, L_9	L ₁₁ x T ₃ , L ₁₄ x T ₂ , L ₁₃ x T ₁ ,	$L_{11} \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_1$
8.	Days to	E,	x T ₂ , L ₁ x T ₁	$L_{10} \times T_1$, $L_{15} \times T_3$	211
	harvesting	C	$L_{11} \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_1$, $L_1 \times T_2$,	$L_1 \times T_1, L_4 \times T_1, L_6 \times T_3, L_{15}$	Nil
		E_2	L ₁₁ x T ₂ , L ₁₃ x T ₂	$x T_3, L_{14} x T_1$	
		E	$L_{13} \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_3$, $L_{14} \times T_3$,	$L_{15} \times T_3$, $L_{1} \times T_1$, $L_{11} \times T_3$,	$L_{15} \times T_3, L_{11} \times T_3$
	,	E,	$L_9 \times T_2$, $L_1 \times T_1$	$L_{10} \times T_2$, $L_2 \times T_3$	D 3 x - 3, - 11
9.	Fruit weigh	E_1	L ₁₁ x T ₁ , L ₁ x T ₃ , L ₉ x T ₂ , L ₁	$L_2 \times T_3$, $L_{11} \times T_1$, $L_7 \times T_2$,	$L_{11} \times T_1, L_1 \times T_2$
9.	riuit weign	L	x T ₂ , L ₉ x T ₁	$L_{13} \times T_1$, $L_1 \times T_3$	Dil a
		E ₂	L ₁ x T ₃ , L ₁₁ x T ₂ , L ₁₁ x T ₃ , L ₉	$L_{15} \times T_1, L_1 \times T_3, L_{13} \times T_1,$	L ₁ x T ₃
		Li	$x T_3, L_4 \times T_3$		
		E3		L ₆ x T ₂ , L ₇ x T ₂	Lyx T2, L2 x T3
		.,	L ₉ x T ₂ , L ₂ x T ₃ , L ₁ x T ₃ , L ₄ x T ₃ , L ₁ x T ₂	L ₂ x T ₃ , L ₁₁ x T ₁ ,L ₁₉ x T ₂ ,	
10	Vield perplant	F.		$L_3 \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_1$	L1 x T3, L3 x T3
10.	Y ield per plant	Εı	L ₁ x T ₃ , L ₉₄ x T ₃ , L ₁₃ x T ₂ , L ₃	$L_1 \times T_3$, $L_2 \times T_1$, $L_1 \times T_3$, L_{12}	
		F.	xT ₃ , L ₁₁ xT ₂	x T ₂ , L ₂ x T ₃	Lox Ti, Li XTi
		E_2	$L_9 \times T_1, L_4 \times T_2, L_1 \times T_2, L_{13}$	$L_9 \times T_1$, $L_1 \times T_2$, $L_{13} \times T_1$, L_2	I v Tı
		С.	xT ₁ , L ₄ xT ₁	x T ₃ , L ₃ x T ₃	L7 X T3, L4 X T3
		E3	L ₇ x T ₃ , L ₁₂ x T ₃ , L ₄ x T ₃ , L ₁	L ₃ x T ₁ , L ₇ x T ₃ ,L ₂ x T ₁ , L ₄	L7X 13, D4
			$x T_3, L_{14} x T_2$	x T ₃ , L ₁₃ x T ₂	

K. D. Ameta, A. K. Shukla, I.B. Maurya and L. N. Mahawer, Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture, 2009 4(1): 16-19

Symbol used in the tables

Symbol Name of line Symbol Name of Test L 1 Heritage Green T 1 Parbhani Kra L 2 GO-2 T 2 Arka Abhay L 3 BO-2 T 3 Arka Anamik L 4 Punjab Padmini L 5 Harbhajan L 6 Nirmal-303 L 7 CO-3 L 8 Pusa Sawni L 9 Swati-10 L 10 Swati-25 L 11 Ankur-40 L 12 VRO-5	er
L ₂ GO-2 T ₂ Arka Abhay L ₃ BO-2 T ₃ Arka Anamik L ₄ Punjab Padmini L ₅ Harbhajan L ₆ Nirmal-303 L ₇ CO-3 L ₈ Pusa Sawni L ₉ Swati-10 L ₁₀ Swati- 25 L ₁₁ Ankur-40	_
L ₃ BO-2 T ₃ Arka Anamik L ₄ Punjab Padmini L ₅ Harbhajan L ₆ Nirmal-303 L ₇ CO-3 L ₈ Pusa Sawni L ₉ Swati-10 L ₁₀ Swati- 25 L ₁₁ Ankur-40	•••
L ₄ Punjab Padmini L ₅ Harbhajan L ₆ Nirmal-303 L ₇ CO-3 L ₈ Pusa Sawni L ₉ Swati-10 L ₁₀ Swati- 25 L ₁₁ Ankur-40	a
L ₀ Nirmal-303 L ₇ CO-3 L ₈ Pusa Sawni L ₉ Swati-10 L ₁₀ Swati- 25 L ₁₁ Ankur-40	
L ₇ CO-3 L ₈ Pusa Sawni L ₉ Swati-10 L ₁₀ Swati- 25 L ₁₁ Ankur-40	
L _R Pusa Sawni L ₀ Swati-10 L ₁₀ Swati- 25 L ₁₁ Ankur-40	
L ₉ Swati-10 L ₁₀ Swati- 25 L ₁₁ Ankur-40	
L ₁₀ Swati- 25 L ₁₁ Ankur-40	
L ₁₁ Ankur-40	
L ₁₂ VRO-5	
L ₁₃ VRO-6	
L ₁₄ Ratnaraj	
L ₁₅ Varsha Uphar	

were significant for all the characters in all the environments except due to lines for first effective fruiting node. The mean squares due to lines x tester were significant for all the traits in all the environments except for plant height in E1, E2 and E3 and for fruit girth in E3. The \u00f32GCA effects due to lines and testers revealed that both the lines and testers contributed for various characters in different environments. Estimates of σ2SCA effects were greater than σ2GCA effects due to lines and testers for all characters in all the environments, which suggested the role of nonadditive gene action in the inheritance of most of the characters. The preponderance of non-additive gene action was also reported by (Singh and Singh, 1978), (Arora, 1993), (Sood and Sharma, 2001) and Prakash et al. (2002). Recurrent selection could be used for the improvement of these characters. Out of ten traits under study, the negative gca and sca effects were estimated for three characters viz. days to 50 percent flowering, days to harvesting and height of first effective fruiting node were considered desirable, since these traits are negatively correlated with fruit yield per plant. However, positive estimates of gca and sca effects for the remaining traits were considered desirable. The estimates of 15 famale lines and 3 male testers for 10 characters (Table 2) indicated that parents

An overall appraisal of GCA effects of the parents (lines and testers) used in the present study indicated that, in general, none of the parent was a good general combiner for all the traits studied. Estimates of GCA effects showed that line Lo was a good general combiner over the environment as it showed significant GCA effects in favourable direction for varying sets of 6, 7 and 4 characters in E₁, E, and E₂, respectively followed by line L₁, L₄, L₁₁ and L₁₃. Among testers, T₃ was good combiner for a set of three characters in E, two in E, and four in E, including

yield per plant except in E, environment.

A perusal of SCA effects among hybrids revealed that highest magnitude of positive SCA effects for yield per plant was revealed by L, x T, in E,; L, x T, and L, x T, in E, and L, x T, in E, environment. None of the cross combination exhibited consistently high SCA effects for all the traits studied. The crosses showing high SCA effects did not always involve parents with high GCA effects suggesting that the interallelic interactions are important for the concerning characters. These findings are in agreement with the findings of Pratap et al. (1981 a), Poshiya and Shukla (1986), (Patel, 1988), (Poshiya, 1992), (Shinde et al., 1995) and (Pawar et al., 1999).

Besides yield, crosses L, x T, and L, x T, also revealed desirable SCA effects for number of branches per plant in E, and height of first effective fruiting node in E, and E, Likewise, cross L, x T, showed high positive SCA effects for number of fruits per plant in all the environments; and cross L, x T, for fruit weight in E, and E, environment.

Reference

- Arora, S.K. 1993. Diallel analysis for combining ability studies in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench). Punjab Hort. J. 33(1-4): 116-122.
- Kempthrone, O. 1957. An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley and Sons. Inc. Newyork. 545.
- Pawar, V.Y., Poshiya, V.K. and Dhaduk, H.L. 1999. Combining ability analysis in okra. GAU Res. J. 25(1): 106-109.
- Patel, J.R. 1991. Genetic architecture for pod yield and its components in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench). Unpublished M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, GAU, Sardar Krushinagar.
- Patel, R.H. 1988. Hybrid vigour studies in okra. Unpublished M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, GAU, Sardar Krushinagar.
- Poshiya, V.K. and Shukla, P.T. 1986. Combining ability analysis in okra. GAU Res. J. 12(1): 25-28.
- Poshiya, V.K. 1992. Diallel analysis over environments in okra. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, GAU, Sardar Krushinagar.
- Prakash, M., Kumar, S., Saravanan, K. Kannan, K. and Ganesan, J. 2002. Line x tester analysis in okra. Ann. Agric. Res., 23(2): 233-237.
- Shinde, L.A., Kulkarni, V.G., Ansingakar, A.S. and Nerkar, Y.S.1995. Combining ability inokra. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 20(1): 58-60.
- Singh, S.P. and Singh, H.N. 1978. Combining ability in okra. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 48(8): 455-458.
- Sood, S. and Sharma, S.K. 2001. Heterosis and gene action for economic traits in okra: SABRAO J. Breeding and Genetics. 33(1): 41-46.