

Efficient use of drumstick in multitier cropping system under semi-arid ecosystem

S. Raja*, V. V. Apparao, B. G. Bagle, and T. A. More

Central Horticultural Experiment Station (CIAH) Godhra, Vejalpur – 389340, Gujarat

Abstract

To achieve the projected target of 220 MT of vegetable production by 2020, augmentation in vegetable production needs to be formulated for different cropping system to fully exploit the natural resources. Number of cropping systems have been developed for different ecosystems, however, a suitable vegetable based cropping system is lacking for semi-arid and arid ecosystem. Considering the nature of growth pattern of the component crops and their yield potential, drumstick (base crop) and cucurbits (climbers) have been chosen in the cropping system. An experiment comprising eleven treatments with three replications in randomized block design was conducted at Central Horticultural Experiment station, Vejalpur from 2004-05 and 2005-06. The results revealed that the interaction of component crops did not significantly reduce the growth parameters of base crop (drumstick) over sole crop. Higher the cropping index (200%), drumstick equivalent yield (28.65 kg/ha) and land equivalent ratio (2.39) has been observed in the treatment combining drumstick and ridge gourd. This multitier system reduced the cost of input by 70.1 and 64.0 per cent comparing bower system and local system respectively.

Key words: Drumstick, cropping system, cucurbits

Introduction

In India, the current status on vegetable production (94.0 MT) is capable to supply only 175 g vegetables per capita per day against the recommendation by dietician (300 g). To fulfill the recommended consumption level, 220 MT of vegetables required to be produced by 2020 to the projected population of 1.5 billion. The threat on shrinking of agricultural land, ignited an opportunity for diversification towards plant architectural management and utilization to fit them into the cropping system approach. The present concept of cropping system defines the yearly sequences and spatial arrangements of crops (horizontal approach) and its interaction with farm resources. The plant architectural arrangement and utilization (vertical approach) in the cropping system has been completely neglected so far.

Suitability of component crops depends primarily on soil and climatic conditions, however, compatibility aspects deserve prime consideration. Competition of crops for external factors (light, space, nutrients) and internal factors (flowering and fruiting period) leads to reduce yield and poor suitability in the cropping system. Hence, selection of compatible crops and their planting geometry could increase the productivity and net income (Shivaramu and Shivshankar, 1992). Considering the nature of growth pattern of the component crops and their yield potential, drumstick (base crop) and cucurbits (climbers or supportive

crops) are the vegetables which are highly grown by the poor farm holdings as pure crops. Presently, drumstick is the most widely known vegetables for its drought tolerant (Palada, 1996) highly nutritious vegetable (Ramachandran, 1980) grown at about 380.5 km² producing 1.1 to 1.3 million tonnes of tender fruits (Rajangam *et al.*, 2001). Presently, drumstick is getting momentum in northern parts of India too. Cucurbitaceous crops like bitter gourd, bottle gourd, ridge gourd, sponge gourd and snake gourd are cultivated at an area of 2.42 lakh ha, with production of 25.0 lakh t and productivity of 10.024 t/ha in our country (Siddhu, 2002). However, requirement of pandal for its cultivation is a greater hurdle for enhancing the area under cucurbits to the farmers, as it requires high investment.

Presently, the interspaces between the base crop has been given priority, but the plant architecture can also be utilized in the cropping system. Though, number of cropping systems has been developed for different ecosystems but they showed poor success in arid and semi-arid ecosystem due to the harsh climate and poor economic status of farming community. Hence, modified cropping system using through plant architectural management and utilization for developing a suitable vegetable based cropping system for semi-arid and arid ecosystem is a prime requisite. Hence, the present experiment was attempted to assess the suitability of drumstick under multitier cropping system, to analyze the impact of drumstick based cropping system on monetary returns, and to assess the different existing cropping systems with drumstick based system for economy and profitability.

*Corresponding author's e-mail:

rajascientists@gmail.com

Materials and methods

A field experiment was conducted at Central Horticultural Experiment Station (CIAH) Vejalpur during 2004-05 and 2005-06. The experimental site is located at 22° 41' 33" - and 73° 33' 22" - and lies between 110-115m above mean sea level. The annual rainfall mainly is confined to three months (July to September) with an average of 35 rainy days a year. The annual maximum and minimum temperature ranged from 42-43°C in May and 6-7°C in January, respectively. The annual potential evapo-transpiration ranged from 1500-1600 mm against the annual precipitation of 750 mm. The soil having pH of (6.9-7.22) EC-0.1-0.2DSM, and available N-112.5-207kg/ha, P-6.75-22.05kg/ha, K-139.5-253.125 Kg/ha. The treatment details are viz. T1=drumstick (pure crop), T2=bottle gourd, T3=bitter gourd, T4=ridge gourd, T5= sponge gourd, T6= snake gourd, T7= drumstick + bottle gourd, T8= drumstick + bitter gourd, T9=drumstick + ridge gourd, T10=drumstick + sponge gourd, T11= drumstick + snake gourd. Each treatment was maintained at 5.0 x 3.0 m plot. The treatments were laid out in randomized block design and the treatments were replicated thrice. Cucurbits were sown in the second week of June and nine and four hills (around three year old drumstick) were maintained in pure and intercropping plots respectively. After establishment of vines, were directed towards drumstick trunk so as to climb on and they were strictly maintained at harvestable height. Except irrigation, all other recommended package of practices was followed to assess the potential yield of crops under sole crop and half the dose of recommended fertilizers was applied to the intercrop treatments. The observations on vegetative, floral, fruiting and yield parameters were recorded and the average was used for the statistical analysis. The drumstick equivalent yield was calculated by multiplying the yield of a particular intercrop to the market rate of that crop and divided by the drumstick market rate and finally add the drumstick yield with that figure.

The productivity of the intercropping was evaluated by the land equivalent ratio (LER) and economic net return. LER is often been considered to be an index of intercropping advantages, The LER defined as $LA+LB=A/A+B/B$, where as LA, LB are the individual LER of A and B, LA is obtained by dividing the yield of crop A in intercropping (A) by the yield of the same crop in sole cropping (AS). LB was calculated in the same (Vandermeer, 1989). Economic net income analysis was undertaken to assess economic feasibility of different intercrops.

Results and discussion

Growth attributes

Plant vigour and earliness are the prime parameters to be considered for gourds cultivation under semi-arid and arid ecosystem. Plant length, days to female flowering and fruiting in different gourd were observed and

analyzed. The data presented in Table-I clearly indicated that there was no significant different in these parameters of supportive crops on COD method than pure crop (G). Ridge gourd and sponge gourd recorded the highest plant length (more than 4.0 m) followed by bottle gourd and snake gourd (more than 3.0 m). The lowest plant length was recorded in bitter gourd (less than 2.5 m). Earliness flowering is an economically important parameters for gourds. Among the gourds tested, bitter gourd proved to record the earliest female flowering, followed by ridge gourd and sponge gourd. Snake gourd took more periods for female flowering. Further, it is understood from the non significant difference between the G and COD methods indicating suitability of drumstick as support. This might be due to the compatibility of drumstick in terms of light provided to the supportive crops growth and development. The differential behavior of components crops in intercropping system is concordance with finding of Kulbir singh *et al.* (2001)

Yield attributes

It was observed that among the supportive crops tested for yield, the highest yield was obtained in ridge gourd (48.83 kg) followed by bottle gourd (43.96 kg) under the COD method than 40.62 and 32.29 kg respectively in G method. The lowest yield was recorded in bitter gourd in both the methods. Assessing the feasibility of yield among G and COD, showed that the higher values over G method proved an increment of 44.96, 36.15 and 38.70 per cent in ridge gourd, bottle gourd, and snake gourd indicating the need of support for harvesting higher marketable produce over G method. This result is in concordance with the finding of Abuselaha and Dutta (1995) who reported that significant difference in the yield of gourds grown on 3 m height trellis (33.41%) and bower system (36%) over ground trailing method. This finding is in contrary with findings of Palada (1996) who observed that drumstick trees are highly competitive with eggplant and sweet corn and reduced yield up to 50 per cent. However, the significant improvement in the yield of gourds strongly justified from the fact that until July end, there was no dense vegetative shoot found on drumstick trees. The open centered barren branches might have facilitated sufficient sunlight to under storied crops. The non-coincidence of flowering of drumstick indicating its fitness to multitier cropping system. Fukai and Trenbath (1993) also reported that intercropping is most productive when inter crops differ greatly in growth duration so that their minimum requirements for growth resources occur at different times. Further, it was observed that when the fruit development started in drumstick trees (November), the gourds finished their life cycle under semi-arid ecosystem. However, a meager yield reduction in drumstick at 11.71, 9.74, and 8.29 per cent observed with combination of snake gourd followed bitter gourd and ridge gourd indicating the thorough study on cropping system based nutritional supplementation to avert this reduction. These

Table 1. Effect of drumstick in multitier cropping system on vegetative and flowering parameters of different cucurbitaceous crops

| Cropping system | Plant length (cm) | | | Days to female flowering | | | Yield per plot (Kg) | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------|--------|--------------------------|---------|-------|----------------------|---------|-------|
| | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | Mean | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | Mean | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | Mean |
| 1. Bottle gourd | 246.5 | 238.4 | 242.45 | 56.2 | 57.2 | 56.73 | 30.21 | 34.33 | 32.29 |
| 2. Bitter gourd | 290.1 | 288.3 | 289.23 | 49.2 | 51.3 | 50.25 | 16.39 | 20.15 | 18.20 |
| 3. Ridge gourd | 473.1 | 459.3 | 466.21 | 56.8 | 58.1 | 57.45 | 38.26 | 43.12 | 40.62 |
| 4. Sponge gourd | 483.5 | 479.1 | 481.32 | 54.2 | 51.5 | 52.85 | 26.10 | 34.51 | 30.28 |
| 5. Snake gourd | 342.8 | 253.2 | 298.05 | 65.3 | 64.2 | 64.75 | 19.32 | 23.53 | 21.41 |
| 6. Drumstick + bottle gourd | 241.5 | 221.4 | 231.45 | 54.1 | 55.6 | 54.85 | 41.23 | 46.68 | 43.96 |
| 7. Drumstick + bitter gourd | 282.9 | 274.6 | 278.75 | 50.7 | 51.4 | 51.05 | 19.31 | 23.14 | 21.24 |
| 8. Drumstick + ridge gourd | 488.0 | 461.3 | 474.65 | 57.2 | 55.3 | 56.25 | 45.60 | 52.22 | 48.84 |
| 9. Drumstick + sponge gourd | 475.1 | 470.8 | 472.95 | 53.6 | 49.3 | 51.45 | 36.12 | 40.15 | 38.12 |
| 10. Drumstick + snake gourd | 353.4 | 329.3 | 341.35 | 61.8 | 66.3 | 64.05 | 26.88 | 32.60 | 29.68 |
| CD at 5% | ns | ns | | ns | ns | | 0.56 | 0.71 | |

G=ground spreading method, COD= climbed over drumstick

Table 2. Yield and economics of drumstick based multitier cropping system (pooled data)

| Cropping system | Yield per plot (kg) | Total yield per ha (t) | Income of drumstick (Rs.) | Income of crops (Rs.) | Total income (Rs.) | Total expenditure (Rs.) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Drumstick | 40.33 | 26.88 | 71725 | - | 71725 | 26585 |
| 2. Bottle gourd (G) | - | 21.52 | - | 48829 | 48829 | 15882 |
| 3. Bitter gourd (G) | - | 12.13 | - | 36400 | 36400 | 12364 |
| 4. Ridge gourd (G) | - | 22.46 | - | 49412 | 49412 | 14229 |
| 5. Sponge gourd (G) | - | 20.18 | - | 36336 | 36336 | 13247 |
| 6. Snake gourd (G) | - | 14.26 | - | 39946 | 39946 | 13288 |
| 7. Drumstick + bottle gourd (COD) | 38.23 | 54.77 | 63666 | 67405 | 131072 | 33586 |
| 8. Drumstick + bitter gourd (COD) | 36.39 | 38.42 | 60650 | 42480 | 103130 | 33454 |
| 9. Drumstick + ridge gourd (COD) | 36.98 | 57.21 | 61633 | 71632 | 133265 | 29781 |
| 10. Drumstick + sponge gourd (COD) | 37.23 | 50.21 | 62000 | 45744 | 107744 | 31815 |
| 11. Drumstick + snake gourd (COD) | 35.63 | 43.52 | 59333 | 43530 | 102864 | 30251 |
| CD at 5% | ns | 4.33 | | | | |

G=ground spreading method, COD= climbed over drumstick

findings are supported by the results of Singh *et al.*, (2001) in sweet pepper indicating the yield reduction in the main crop when intercropped with non leguminous crops. Reddy *et al.*, (1993) also reported that the reduction in the yield of arecanut.

Economic feasibility

When the values of land equivalent ratio appears to be greater than one under intercropping system, this usually indicates the efficiency of this system over the sole cropping system (Vandermeer, 1989). In this study, the land equivalent ratio as an indicator of biological efficiency intercropping system was always greater than one (Table 3). Highest land equivalent ratio was obtained in the treatment combining drumstick with ridge gourd (2.39) i.e. 2.39 times area is required to get the same produce in their monoculture treatment. This multitier system approach not only reduced the space by 2.39 times but also neglected the use of trellis system of cultivation. The same treatment recorded the highest drumstick equivalent ration (28.65 t/ha). Willey (1979) reported that the practical significance of LER can only be fully assessed when related to the actual economic yield. However, Muonenke and Asiegbu (1997) concluded that the highest LER values did not always reflect highest monetary return to the farmer.

The sustainability of any intercropping system is influenced by the economic returns, which determine the commercial feasibility of different intercropping. The economics of various combination are given in Table 3. The maximum net profit of Rs 103484/- followed by Rs. 97486/- in drumstick + ridge gourd and drumstick + bottle gourd combination respectively. The most economically satisfactory intercropping system was obtained with drumstick + ridge gourd (3.47%), followed by drumstick + bottle gourd (2.98%). It is a compulsion of farmers of semi-arid region to grow crops in rainy season alone, for which investing in preparing trellis can be an economical. In the present study, the drumstick based multitier system reduced the cost input required for preparing trellis by 71 and 64 per cent comparing the bower and traditional system respectively as these two systems incurred Rs. 83000/- and Rs.67100/- respectively for preparing trellis (Table 4). Hence, it is concluded that the drumstick multitier cropping system, not only brings the drumstick grown areas under gourds and vice versa, but also facilitates to introduce more annual vegetables, favourable price during glut period, easy accessible for intercultivation enhance the input use efficiency. Similar observation are also noted by Patil *et al.*, (1992), Hegde *et al* (1993) and Nagwedat *et al.*, (1997) drumstick in coconut based vegetable cropping system. Hence, this system can be commercially exploited to the poor farming community.

Table 3. Profitability and compatibility of drumstick based multitier cropping system for semi-arid ecosystem (pooled data)

| Cropping system | Net income (Rs) | C/B ratio | Drumstick reduction over pure crop | Yield (%) | Supportive crop % Yield increase over pure crop | Drumstick equivalent yield (t/ha) | Land equivalent ratio |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|--------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| 1. Drumstick | 45140 | 1.69 | - | - | - | - | 1.00 |
| 2. Bottle gourd (G) | 32947 | 2.07 | - | - | - | 19.80 | 1.00 |
| 3. Bitter gourd (G) | 24036 | 1.94 | - | - | - | 14.56 | 1.00 |
| 4. Ridge gourd (G) | 35183 | 2.47 | - | - | - | 19.76 | 1.00 |
| 5. Sponge gourd (G) | 23089 | 1.74 | - | - | - | 14.53 | 1.00 |
| 6. Snake gourd (G) | 26658 | 2.00 | - | - | - | 15.97 | 1.00 |
| 7. Drumstick + bottle gourd (COD) | 97486 | 2.90 | 5.28 | 5.28 | 36.15 | 26.96 | 2.26 |
| 8. Drumstick + bitter gourd (COD) | 69676 | 2.08 | 9.74 | 9.74 | 16.73 | 16.99 | 2.01 |
| 9. Drumstick + ridge gourd (COD) | 103484 | 3.47 | 8.29 | 8.29 | 44.96 | 28.65 | 2.39 |
| 10. Drumstick + sponge gourd (COD) | 75929 | 2.38 | 7.73 | 7.73 | 25.91 | 18.29 | 2.12 |
| 11. Drumstick + snake gourd (COD) | 72613 | 2.40 | 11.71 | 11.71 | 38.70 | 22.16 | 2.21 |

G=ground spreading method, COD= climbed over drumstick

Table 4: Comparative performance of drumstick based multitier system over bower and traditional system

| S. No. | Factors | System of cultivation | | |
|--------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | Bowar system | Traditional system | Drumstick based multitier system |
| 1 | Spacing for each poles | 3.0 x 3.0 m | 3.0 x 2.0 m | 5.0 x 3.0 m |
| 2 | Cost for trellis formation | 83600 | 67100 | - |
| 3 | Cost of cultivation | 16100 | 15800 | 29780 |
| 4 | Yield (kg/ha) | 30000 | 23700 | 57160 |
| 5 | Total expenditure | 99700 | 82900 | 29780 |
| 6 | Total income | 66000 | 52140 | 133265 |
| 7 | Net Income | -33700 | -30760 | 103485 |
| 8 | Input reduction percent | 71.0 | 64.0 | - |

References

- Abuselakha and Dutta, O.P. (1995). Effect of different training systems on growth and yield of sponge gourd. *Indian J. Hort.* 52(1): 60-63.
- Hegde, M.R., Yasuf, M. and Gopalsundaram, P. (1993). Intercropping vegetables in coconut gardens. In: *Advances in Coconut Research and Development*. (eds. Nair, M.K., Khan, H.H., Gopalsundaram, P., Bhaskararao, E.V.V.) Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. New Delhi, pp. 407-412.
- Fukai, S. and Trenbath, B.R. (1993). Processes determining intercrop productivity and yields of component crop. *Field Crop Res.* 34: 247-271.
- Kulbir Singh, Sandhu, K.S. and Sandhu, M.S. (2001). Intercropping of sweet pepper with radish, cabbage and onion. *Veg. Sci.* 28(2): 137-139.
- Muoneke, C.O. and Asiegbu J.E. (1997). Effect of okra planting density and spatial arrangement in intercrop with maize on the growth and yield of the component species. *J. Agron. Crop. Sci.* 179: 201-207.
- Nagwekar, D.D., Haldankar, P.M., Desai, A.G., Gunjate, R.T. and Rajput, J.C. (1997). Performance of rainfed vegetables as intercrops in coconut plantation. *J. Plantation Crops.* 25 (2): 209-211.
- Palada, M. C. (1996). Moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.): A versatile tree crop with horticultural potential in the subtropical United States. *Hort Science.* 31(5): 794-797.
- Patil, J.L. Haldankar, P.M., Jamadagni B.M. (1992). Intercropping of vegetables in coconut garden. *J. Plantation Crops.* 20 (2): 167-169.
- Rajangam J., et al. *Status of Production and Utilisation of Moringa in Southern India*. In "Development Potential for Moringa Products", October 29th - November 2nd, 2001, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
- Ramachandran, C., Peter, K.V. and Gopalakrishnan, P.K. (1980). Drumstick (*Moringa oleifera*): A multipurpose Indian Vegetable. *Economic Botany.* 34(3): 276-283.
- Reddy, V.M., Baranwal, V.K., and Singh, R.K. (1993). Arecanut based high density multispecies cropping system in west Bengal. *J. Plantation Crops* 21:15-21.
- Sidhu, A.S. (2002). Current status of Vegetable Research in India. Tropical Seeds. Com. Publication and Research Articles, page 9 of 12 (Internet).
- Shivaramu, H.S. and Shivshankar, K. (1992). Performance of sunflower and soybean in intercropping with different population and planting patterns. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 37(2):231-236.
- Singh, K., Sandhu, K.S. and Sandhu, M.S. (2001). Intercropping of sweet pepper, with radish, cabbage and onion. *Veg. Sci.* 28:137-139.
- Vandermeer, J. (1989). *The Ecology of Inter Cropping*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK. p. 237.
- Wiley, R.W. (1979). Intercropping- its importance and yield advantages. *Field Crops Absrt.* 32 :1-10.