## Characterization and evaluation of ber genotypes in hot arid regions of Tamil Nadu

I. Geethalakshmi, P. Mareeswari, A. Senthil and V. Rajaram Regional Research Station, Kovilangulam, Aruppukottai 626 107 Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu Received: January 2013; Accepted: April, 2013

Ber (Ziziphus mauritiana Lamk.) is an economically important tropical fruit tree, which is grown all over the drier parts of the Indian subcontinent, Africa and northern Australia for its fresh fruits. It is one of the suitable trees to grow in dry regions, because it can withstand long periods of drought. Ber (Chinese date, Chinese Fig or bore) also known as poor man's fruit is most widely cultivated in Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Gujarat, Maharashtra etc. Liu and Cheng (1995) reported that Indo-Malaysia region is the centre of both evolution and distribution of the genus Zizyphus. In India, a number of ber cultivars have been developed largely by the growers through selection in different regions. Maximum variability of ber is observed in Rajasthan, Gujarat, Haryana, Punjab and Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh. In Gujarat, Mehsana, Anand, Panchmahal, Patan and Sabarkatha districts are having rich diversity of ber (Shukla et al., 2003).

The experimental investigation was carried out in the orchard of B3 block in an area of hactares at Regional Research Station, Aruppukottai during the period of 2005 to 2009. Twenty six genotypes were assessed in randomized block designs, which were replicated thrice. The following were the genotypes:

Source	Accession No.		
Banarsi	ZM-1		
Umran	ZM-2		
Kaithali	ZM-3		
Gola	ZM-4		
Kathapal	ZM-5		
Safeda	ZM-6		
Aruppukottai local	ZM-7		
Kakadia I	ZM-8		
Kakadia II	ZM-9		
Periyakulam Local	ZM-10		
Ilaichi RS.	ZM-11		
Ilaichi RS	ZM-12		
Ilaichi RS	ZM-13		
Ilaichi RS	ZM-14		
Sendurai RS	ZM-15		

ZM-16
ZM-17
ZM-18
ZM-19
ZM-20
ZM-21
ZM-22
ZM-23
ZM-24
ZM-25
ZM-26

These genotypes were evaluated for their yield characters *viz.*, yield per tree, fruit weight, pulp weight and TSS (<sup>°</sup>Brix). The Total Soluble Sugars (TSS) was estimated using a hand refractometer. The pooled data of five years (2005-2009) were statistically analyzed by following the method of Gomez and Gomez (1984). The observations were recorded after the receipt of monsoon rains during September October.

In *ber*, there were twenty six genotypes collected from different parts of India and were maintained in the orchard for biometric evaluation and the pooled results of 2005 to 2009 stated that among the twenty six genotypes evaluated, Kaithali registered maximum yield of 5.5 kgs/tree followed by Gola (4.6 kg). Gola recorded maximum fruit weight of 18.8 g followed by Kaithali (17.3 g). In respect of pulp weight, the same trend was noticed in Gola (17.6 g) followed by Kaithali (16.1 g). Kathaphal recorded maximum TSS of 15.3 °Brix followed by Kaithali (14.4 °Brix) (Table 1).

These results were in conformity with the findings of Pareek and Dhaka (2008) reported that the fruit yield of *ber* had significantly positive correlation with pulp: stone ratio, TSS, acidity, ascorbic acid, total sugars and reducing sugars which indicated that selection for these traits would lead to an improvement in yield, while it was significantly and negatively associated with TSS: acid ratio.

Source	Acc No.	Pooled Mean ( 2005-2009)				
		Yield (Kgs	Fruit weight	Pulp weight	TSS ( <sup>0</sup> Brix)	
		/tree)	(g)	(g)		
Banarsi	ZM -1	4.30	13.65	12.38	13.4	
Umran	ZM -2	3.22	14.68	13.25	12.7	
Kaithali	ZM -3	5.55	17.32	16.10	14.3	
Gola	ZM -4	4.61	18.83	17.59	12.5	
Kathapal	ZM -5	3.64	12.87	11.64	15.3	
Safeda	ZM -6	3.24	7.40	6.33	12.1	
Aruppukottai local	ZM -7	2.26	8.99	7.80	12.4	
Kakadia I	ZM -8	2.20	16.09	14.70	12.6	
Kakadia II	ZM -9	3.25	13.69	11.34	13.0	
Periyakulam Local	ZM -10	2.00	4.48	4.11	4.6	
Ilaichi RS.	ZM -11	4.52	7.97	7.05	12.1	
Ilaichi RS	ZM -12	3.36	10.40	9.44	13.1	
Ilaichi RS	ZM -13	2.96	10.81	9.74	13.2	
Ilaichi RS	ZM -14	3.90	10.43	8.63	13.8	
Sendurai RS	ZM -15	3.40	9.49	7.46	13.2	
Sendurai RS	ZM -16	3.07	8.37	7.29	12.0	
Sendurai RS	ZM -17	3.80	12.35	11.14	12.0	
Sendurai RS	ZM -18	3.48	10.56	9.26	13.2	
Sendurai RS	ZM -19	3.77	9.64	8.35	12.4	
Sulakarai	ZM -20	3.01	9.29	8.36	12.4	
Seb	ZM -21	3.55	11.33	10.47	12.7	
Mundia	ZM -22	2.73	12.91	11.55	12.9	
Guli	ZM -23	3.57	9.77	8.86	12.3	
Sivakasi	ZM -24	2.70	9.00	9.79	12.2	
Kalayamputhur	ZM -25	3.35	10.04	8.81	11.2	
Periyakulam Local	ZM -26	2.51	7.19	7.43	12.8	
SEd		0.43	0.79	0.52	0.47	
CD (0.05%)		0.87	1.59	1.05	0.94	

Table 1. Evaluation of ber germplasm for yield and quality attributes

## References

- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 680.
- Liu, M.J. and Cheng, C.Y. 1995. A taxonomic study of the genus Ziziphus. Acta Hort., 390.
- Shukla, Anil Kumar, Shukla, Arun Kumar, Dhandar, D.G. and Patel, J.I. 2003. Genetic variability of ber and

aonla in Gujarat. National Symposium on Agroforestry and sustainable production, Jhansi, 7-9 November 2003.

Pareek, S. and Dhaka, R.S. 2008. Association analysis for quality attributes in ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* L.). *Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture*, 3(1):77-79.